

[REDACTED]

From: Julie Inman Grant
Sent: Thursday, 11 April 2019 9:48 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: eSafety Investigation of [REDACTED] URLs [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

No worries [REDACTED] I think this leaves us in no doubt that your actions to stem the vitality through the voluntary block was the right thing. I know that this presents issues around unblocking. It would be helpful if you could let us know what you plan to do in due course so we can prepare for the potential tsunami of complaints! Julie

Sent from my iPhone

On 11 Apr 2019, at 9:40 pm, [REDACTED] wrote:

Julie

Thank you for this clarification – very helpful for us.

Regards

[REDACTED]

From: Julie Inman Grant <[REDACTED]@eSafety.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 11 April 2019 5:25 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]@afp.gov.au; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@eSafety.gov.au>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@eSafety.gov.au>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@eSafety.gov.au>
Subject: eSafety Investigation of [REDACTED] URLs [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

For-Official-Use-Only

Dear [REDACTED]:

As agreed at the Taskforce meeting on 5 April – we have assessed the list of 43 URLs being currently blocked by ISPs provided to us by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] on the grounds that they provided access to the Christchurch attack video. If [REDACTED] used additional URLs as a basis of blocking additional domains, these have not been investigated by eSafety’s CyberReport team. Our investigators assessed each URL and as at 9 April 2019 and found that:

- 12 continue to provide full access to the complete attack video;
- 13 continue to provide full access to the perpetrator’s manifesto (‘The Great Replacement’); and
- 6 provide links to either the video or manifesto on other websites.

Given the nature of the sites concerned, it is probable that other URLs and pages being hosted on these domains contain either the footage or the manifesto or provide links to sites that do.

[REDACTED]

We can provide you with more specific advice on the URLs that were found to contain either the footage, the manifesto, or links to such content if that will assist.

[REDACTED]

Obviously, eSafety is not in a position to comment on the AFP's legislative remit and potential responses so I'll defer to [REDACTED] at this time so can respond to this email accordingly.

Please do let us know if you would like further information on our investigations and I look forward to continuing discussions on this important topic in furtherance of our shared goal to make sure Australian citizens are protected from harmful content.

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

Julie Inman Grant
eSafety Commissioner

Julie Inman Grant
Commissioner

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

<image015.png> <image016.png> <image017.png>

<image018.jpg>

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the

intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.