


**From:** s 22  
**Sent:** Monday, 19 May 2025 8:56 PM  
**To:** s 22  
**Cc:** s 22  
**Subject:** For feedback by Thursday: List of academics for SMAR consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Hi s 22

Grateful for your insights on who from academia/research that submitted an EOI we should invite to consultations please.

I've copied them out of the main list and put them in a separate tab titled "Academics" here:  [Consultation planner - working draft.xlsx](#)

I've had a first go at identifying and sorting by adding notes in column N and colour coding into

- Green for invite to consult
- Orange for maybe (has some expertise and could contribute but not as strong as others)
- Red for do not invite (unlikely to make a meaningful contribution)

**Task: Can you please**

1. fill in column P "Notes from research team" – as best you can so we have a recorded reason for each decision to go to Julie. Happy for you to leave blank if the "Note from Supervision team" is suffice.
2. Change the colour coding as you see fit. A manageable number is probably up to 15 green and up to 5 orange.

To note:

- We would like a mix of universities represented.
- Please exclude anyone who is on the Academic Advisory Group and note that as the reason in column P.
- To help you, below is an early list of draft questions we might put to these academics (I'll also be sending you these questions in the coming week to review and add in ones your team would like included)

**Due date: EOD Thursday.**

Thank you

s 22

1. Which child rights could be engaged by age assurance measures, and how can these impacts be mitigated?
2. What are children's concerns about the implementation of the legislation?
3. What are common parental concerns about the implementation of the legislation?
4. Are there any potential unintended consequences of age assurance for Australian children under 16 who are current users of social media?

Removing existing accounts

5. What child safety and rights considerations are there when deactivating accounts of underage users?

6. What reasonable steps should be taken when closing accounts when the law takes effect to respect the rights of children?

#### Ageing Out of Restrictions

7. If an under 16-year-old has an account removed from a service, should that original account be reinstated at 16 years, or is it reasonable that a person would need to apply for a new account?

#### Migrating to other platforms

8. Will children migrate to other platforms? What are the likely ones?
9. What support will children need to ensure they move to safe platforms?

#### Circumvention

10. What is the likelihood of children trying to circumvent age assurance measures, and what factors increase or decrease this likelihood? E.g. family demographic, age of child, peer pressure
11. How do parental behaviors and concerns influence the likelihood of supporting circumvention of age assurance?

#### Using social media without an account

12. What child safety risks are there for children accessing content on social media without having an account?
  - a. How can these be mitigated effectively? E.g. restricting the type of content available without an account.

#### Public communication of changes

13. How should communication strategies – by government and industry - be developed to inform over 16s about the new minimum age requirements for social media, the platforms affected, and the new account age check requirements?
14. How should communication strategies – by government and industry - be developed to inform under 16s about the new minimum age requirements for social media, the platforms affected, and what will happen to their existing accounts?
15. Do you think children and young people understand why this legislation is being implemented?
16. How should eSafety and industry effectively communicate that Government IDs will not be required for signing up to social media platforms?

#### Diverse and vulnerable communities

1. Are there any potential unintended consequences of age assurance for Australian children under 16 who are current users of social media?
2. Are there any potential unintended consequences of age assurance for Australians users of social media over 16?
3. What issues might arise regarding age assurance methods for users aged 16 and 17, and how do they differ from those for users aged 18+?
4. How should eSafety and industry effectively communicate that Government IDs will not be required for signing up to social media platforms?



**Due date for feedback is EOD Wednesday 28<sup>th</sup>.** After consolidating managers' feedback, the lists will proceed to Commissioner sign-off.

**How to read the spreadsheet:**

- The **first tab** is all of the EOIs we received, categorised as green for selected, red for not selected.
  - All education sector is marked as RED, as this sector will instead be coordinated through a NOSEC roundtable organised by s 22.
  - All government officials and law enforcement are marked as RED – these will be coordinated separately with contacts in relevant departments.
- The **second tab** is those selected grouped by theme for roundtables.
  - We are aiming to have roundtables be no more than 10 in each – and one from each organisation.
  - All Trusted eSafety Providers who EOId will be consulted.
  - Roundtables to hear children's views and parents' views are being organised with third parties and therefore not fully captured in this list.
- The **third tab** is 1-1 meetings with industry that submitted an EOI.

Thanks

s 22

Assistant Manager, Industry Supervision

Industry Compliance and Enforcement Branch



s 22



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.



Name	What organisation are you representing? (If none, please write 'Individual')	Which sector do you work in or represent? (You can only select one)	If 'other' sector selected, please specify.	Do you reside in Australia?	If 'other' field of knowledge or experience selected, please specify.	Notes
s 47F	ARC Centre of Excellence for the Digital Child, Curtin University	Academia or research		Yes		ARC are a leader in the space, their research remit is wide.
	AILECS Lab (Monash University)/AFP Research Centre	Academia or research		Yes	AI and machine learning	Research intersects law enforcement and AI and CSAM
	Consortium for Research in Eating Disorders	Academia or research	Research and Not-For-Profit	Yes		
	Deakin Cyberpsychology Group	Academia or research		Yes		
	Edith Cowan University; The Kids Research Institute	Academia or research		Yes	Health promotion/public health and implementation science	Recent research has focused on how to keep young people safe online, including online behaviours such as sexting and cyberbullying.
	EU Kids Online and Center for Children, youth and media, University of Oslo	Academia or research		No		EU Kids Online is a multinational research network that sits within the London School of Economics. It seeks to enhance knowledge of European children's online opportunities, risks and safety. It uses multiple methods to map children's and parents' experiences of the Internet, in dialogue with national and European policy stakeholders. s is the coordinator s 47F
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes		Research focuses at the intersection of technology and regulation, especially in the platform and networked industry sectors. s has a particular interest in the role of competition law and policy in those sectors. No public comment or research on SMAR
	Individual	Academia or research	University of Melbourne	Yes		Public concerns about privacy re AV. Publicly against social media ban. Has technical expertise
	Leiden University	Academia or research		No		EDM sent - expert on digital rights
	Monash University and ChildLight UNSW	Academia or research		Yes	Previous law enforcement experience in child protection	EDM sent - Chair of AATT
	Orygen	Academia or research		Yes	youth mental health	Orygen were involved in the department youth consultation. They can speak to the unintended consequences. Good fit
	Queensland University of Technology (note views are my own)	Academia or research		Yes	Privacy	Individual with good expertise s is a lecturer s 47F and has previously worked for the federal government advising on privacy policy, as well as in private practice as a lawyer in Queensland and Victoria. Her research interests include children's rights, privacy and data protection law, intellectual property law, corporate responsibility, consumer rights and media law, all viewed from human rights based and feminist perspectives. She has published on issues regarding on human rights, privacy law and children's rights in the digital environment
	UNSW Sydney	Academia or research		Yes		EDM sent s 47F
	UTS	Academia or research		Yes		EDM sent s 47F
s 22	Individual / Centre for Excellence in Child and Family Welfare- TAS VIC	Academia or research		Yes	Communications and media manager - practical expertise	We have some engagement with the broader Centre for Excellence including with s however would need to check s's best fit. Could contribute to more diverse voices if need be.
	ARC Centre of Excellence for the Digital Child	Academia or research		Yes		Her focus is tech in education s has been selected from The Centre for excellence in the Digital Child
	ARC Centre Of Excellence For The Digital Child, Curtin University	Academia or research		Yes	Providing information to parents on children's digital technology use - currently completing qualitative research on what information parents of young children would like to know about children's screen use and how they would like to access this info	Her focus is tech and physical health s has been selected from The Centre for excellence in the Digital Child
	ARC Centre of Excellence of the Digital Child, University of Wollongong	Academia or research		Yes		This group of researchers are focused on early childhood s has been selected from The Centre for excellence in the Digital Child
	Assessment and Evaluation Research Centre, The University of Melbourne	Academia or research		Yes	Development and evaluation of critical thinking through social media engagement, with a focus on educational design, digital learning environments, and equitable access to participatory learning	Not a strong research link to SMAR
	Centre for AI and Digital Ethics, The University of Melbourne	Academia or research		Yes	Digital ethics and social impact of technology	s 47F He is interested in the intersection of technology (big data, social media, etc) and philosophy (existentialism, ethics, epistemology, and experimental Philosophy). Research outputs don't strongly correlate to SMAR
	Critical Digital Infrastructures and Interfaces - Deakin University	Academia or research		Yes		
	Deakin University	Academia or research		Yes		
	Edith Cowan University	Academia or research		Yes		
	Edith Cowan University	Academia or research		Yes	Sociologist with research pertaining to how teens use social media as a source of knowledge and community	Some relevant research on teen's sexual lives online
	EU Kids Online (EUKO)	Academia or research		No		Invited s 47E who is the coordinator
	EU Kids Online/Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore	Academia or research		No		Invited s 47E who is the coordinator
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes		s research explores how digital technologies can improve the mental health and wellbeing of regional, rural, and remote children and families. Could be good to unintended consequences
	Individual	Academia or research		No	Use of social media as a resource in teaching. Note children, including u 16 can study at university level, for example as a HeadStart student at the University of Southern Queensland.	Not relevant to SMAR guidance.
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes		Pharmacology
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes		
	Individual	Academia or research		No		
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes		
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes		
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes	I am a social media influencer for more than 8 years, and my research field is also on social media and influencers. Also been working with broadcastings such as radio and TV.	
	Individual	Academia or research		Yes		Researches child influencers s
	Individual	Academia or research		No		
	Individual (within University of Sydney)	Academia or research		Yes		"globally leading authority on Youtube Twitch.tv, and game live streaming / live streaming more generally" - Include if these will be captured by rules
	HLC School Sydney	Academia or research		Yes	I am a current member of the Black Dog Institute Schools Advisory Board, developer of universal anxiety prevention program - co developed with s which focused on tolerance of uncertainty. The use of social media was explored in the	
	Monash University	Academia or research		Yes	Perspective from research on manosphere harms with	Gender s 47F is a feminist researcher and lecturer in humanities and social sciences in the Faculty of Education's School of Education, Culture and Society.
	Monash university	Academia or research		Yes	s 47F	No relevant research. She focusses on housing.
	None	Academia or research		Yes	Currently in my third year PhD investigating the social media platforms Instagram, Snapchat and TikTok in relation to children's wellbeing. This has involved ethnographic research and interviews with adolescents and parents.	No published research and not aligned to university.

ling	Oxford Internet Institute, University of Oxford	Academia or research	No	Artificial Intelligence policy and regulation, cybersecurity, online harms relating to mis/disinformation	Former Australian public servant, current completing a PhD at Oxford on AI-enabled threat actors.
	Redeemer Lutheran College (Junior School)	Academia or research	Yes	Education	
	Redeemer Lutheran College Nilosla	Academia or research	Yes		
	RMIT University	Academia or research	Yes		Hub for Apple Platform - Technology Enhanced Learning (TEL) professor, researcher, leader and communicator, directing innovative educational practice across all levels
	The University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Yes		Our research explores the interplay between information technology, its users (people), and the operations (business processes) through which this technology is used.
	The University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Yes		Primary interest is Digital death and commemoration - not closely enough linked to SHMAI
	The University of Queensland	Academia or research	Yes	Evaluation of major government programs	
	The University of Wollongong	Academia or research	Yes		psychologist working in cybersecurity with an interest in Socio-technical Impacts of AI, eye-tracking and oculometry.
	University of Canberra	Academia or research	Yes		s 47F Recent projects and published work not closely tied to SHMAI
	University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Yes	My expertise is centred around responsible innovation in digital health technologies (specifically the use of AI in mental health). My work explores the unintended consequences of digital technologies on health and I have work specifically focusing o	s 47F
	University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Yes		Body Image - Associate Professor s 47F Investigating body image, social media, eating disorders, muscle dysmorphia, anabolic steroid use, and the stigmatisation of mental disorders and related behaviours
	University of Wollongong	Academia or research	Yes		s 47F completed a PhD in inclusive education working with autistic children to understand how they socialise within differentiated spaces.
	University of Wollongong (UGW)	Academia or research	Yes		PhD candidate with no relevant research
	J&K Prompts	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent - represent parent views
	SRights Foundation	Civil Society	No		EDM sent
	Alannah & Madeline Foundation	Civil Society	Yes	Especially interested in the intersection between this work and the creation of a Children's Online Privacy Code.	EDM sent
	Alannah & Madeline Foundation	Civil Society	Yes		Trusted eSafety Provider, project partnerships, has worked extensively in the space
	ARIACY - Australian Research Alliance for Children and Youth	Civil Society	No		EDM sent - Child and family wellbeing advocacy and research. They have conducted a youth consultation on the ban.
	Australia Web Accessibility Initiative (OZ-WAI)	Civil Society	Yes	Digital accessibility technical conformance (WCAG)	
	Australian Council on Children and the Media	Civil Society	Yes	Needs of parents and carers	Reviews apps for kids for their safety
	Australian Parents Council	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent - Peak body s has been involved in various consultations. They have also conducted a survey on the SHMA
	Away from Keyboard Inc.	Civil Society	Yes		AFK addresses the psychological and emotional impacts of screen overexposure, online abuse, and technology-facilitated exclusion through safe, inclusive, and community-based programs.
	AYAC	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent
	Body Safety Australia	Civil Society	Yes	Trusted eSafety provider	Trusted eSafety Provider
	Bravehearts	Civil Society	Yes		
	Children and Young People with Disability Australia (CYDA)	Civil Society	Yes	Peak body with connection to eSafety.	EDM sent
	Collective Shout	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent
	Cyber Safety Project	Civil Society	Yes	I have both a legal background regarding commercial data law and privacy research, deepfake regulation, and artificial intelligence research	Trusted eSafety Provider
	Cyber Safety Project	Civil Society	Education	School leaders and educators who will experience the flow on effects	Trusted eSafety Provider
	Daniel Morcombe Foundation	Civil Society	Child Safety / not for profit		Trusted eSafety Provider
	Digital Rights Watch	Civil Society	Yes		
	Electronic Frontiers Australia	Civil Society	Yes		Ask other teams if they've engaged before.
	Empowerment.Ed	Civil Society	Yes		Trusted eSafety Provider
	Evolve Education	Civil Society	Yes		Trusted eSafety Provider
	Federation of Parents and Citizens Associations of NSW (P&C Federation)	Civil Society	Yes		
	Future of Privacy Forum	Civil Society	Yes		
	Good Things Australia	Civil Society	Yes	Digital Inclusion policy and program deliver	EDM sent - Extensive work with eSafety for the seniors program delivery
	headspace National	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent
	headspace National	Civil Society	Yes		
	Human Rights Law Centre	Civil Society	Yes		
	Human Technology Institute, UTS	Civil Society	Yes		s 47F manages major HTI projects s 47F
	ICHRC Australia	Civil Society	Yes		she also delivers training in responsible AI s 47F areas of expertise include human rights, facial recognition, digital identity, age assurance, and the social and organisational implications of new technologies.
	Life Ed Australia	Civil Society	Education		Trusted eSafety Provider
	Nowember	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent
	NYAN NSW	Civil Society	Yes	Refugee and Migrant Youth Perspectives	Have had a connection to eSafety over the years. YC team might also have been in contact.
	NAAJA	Civil Society	Yes	Remote and very remote Aboriginal communities affected by incarceration	
	National Taskforce for Social Media, Body Image & Eating Disorders	Civil Society	Our Taskforce include academics, eating disorder organisations, and lived experience advocates		
	National Womens Safety Alliance	Civil Society	Yes		Gender - National Women's Safety Alliance, with a membership over of 750 individuals and organisations she is determined we will end violence against all identifying women, non binary people and children.
	Queensland Independent Schools Parents Network	Civil Society	Peak parent body for Queensland's independent sector (233 schools)	Parents	They have provided updates to their parent subscribers on the SHMA via EDMs
	Raising Children Network	Civil Society	No		EDM sent
	ReachOut Australia	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent
	Reset.Tech Australia	Civil Society	Yes		EDM sent
	Scarlet Alliance, Australian Sex Workers Association	Civil Society	Yes	Sexual and reproductive health education for young people.	EDM sent
	Scope	Civil Society	Disability	The need for accessible written materials for people with disability and communication disabilities	Yes - Children and young people with disability
	Sexual Health Victoria	Civil Society	Sexual Health Victoria - eSafety education provider		TEP
	Sexual Health Victoria	Civil Society	Sexuality Education		EDM sent - TEP - Yes CS&A - ShV seeks to advance sexual health literacy and build capacity through education services within the primary care and community-based systems
	South Eastern Centre Against Sexual Assault and Family Violence (SE-CASA)	Civil Society	Yes		TEP. Missed FOI deadline but emailed s Second contact is s 47F @monashthealth.org

s 22

S

s 22

s 22

Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes	An understanding of the ID24 identity framework, the 'attribute', the verifiable credential and AGDIS schema.	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes	Neurodivergent and home schooled children	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
individual	individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	individual - Over 18 years	Yes	Allied health professional (Exercise Physiologist) & Academic (Lecturer & Researcher)	
Individuals, but I am in youth engagement programs with the Alannah & Madeline Foundation and Brexhearts Foundation NA	individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
NHUKA	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		No - Individual
None	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
none	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes		
St Peter's College	Individual - Over 18 years	Yes	Education leadership with specific reference to oversight and management of access to technology in school settings, along with working with and advising parents of children aged 3-18.	
ARACY	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		Does not represent ARACY
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes	alternatives to social media for under 16s	
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		
Individual	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		
Individual - but I also am the founder of a small nonprofit organisation called Youth 4 Youth Inc (www.youth4youthinc.com)	Individual - Under 18 years	Yes		

s 47F

3 Steps Data and the Cybersecurity Advisors Network	Industry	Yes	1) The danger of centralised ID systems and how they invite abuse; 2) how zero-knowledge and cryptographic proofs can verify age or credentials without surveillance; 3) how privacy-preserving infrastructure can meet regulatory needs without making pt	
Age Verification Providers Association	Industry	No		
Apple	Industry	Yes		
DigiCheck	Industry	Yes	Lawyer, academic, FDRP	In the AATT trial
Digital Industry Group Inc.	Industry	Yes		
Discord	Industry	Yes		
GeoComply	Industry	No		
Google	Industry	Yes		
Individual	Industry	Yes	I consult across sectors but most frequently at the moment for Industry with the Tech Coalition and for T&S/online safety with some individual members	
Kick Streaming	Industry	Yes		
Kick Streaming Pty Ltd	Industry	Yes		
Kick.com	Industry	Yes		
k ID	Industry	No		

s 47F

LyveSocial	Industry	Yes	s 47F	, an Australian company developing an Australian product into the market to address users and parent concerns	s 47F	His research focus is on public health, men's health, gerontology and sexualities.
LyveSocial	Industry	Yes				
Meta	Industry	Yes				
Meta	Industry	Yes				
Microsoft	Industry	Yes				
Pinterest	Industry	Yes				
Privacy Vaults Online, Inc. (PRIVO)	Industry	No				RIVD's Kids Privacy Assured program and full suite of configurable tools enable companies to seamlessly engage with - or block access to - minors.
Qoria	Industry	Yes				Safety tech experts
R2 Labs Pty Ltd	Industry	Yes	Digital identity and self sovereign data	Cyber security, Anti-malware		R2 Labs is part of AATT and offered SafeGen product as option for AA - using registered children's phone numbers. Ric is on an advisory panel for NSW poc digital identity.
Reddit, Inc.	Industry	No				
Sharetling	Industry	Yes				Part of AATT
Snap Inc.	Industry	Yes				EDM sent
Snap Inc.	Industry	Yes				
TikTok	Industry	Yes				
Verifymy	Industry	No				In the AATT trial
Vyanams Strategies (Vys)	Industry	No				EDM sent - Deep experience building youth safety solutions
Wikimedia Australia	Industry	Yes				EDM sent
Wikimedia Australia	Industry	Yes				EDM sent
Wups	Industry	No				
sterite	Industry	Yes				sterite is a video game available on Steam
Civertalk Australia	Industry	Yes	Disability	Capacity building for safe use of technology and communication tools. Authenticated by face to face interactions.		Not a social media app or age-related or age assurance
Individual	Industry	Yes				
Individual	Industry	Yes				Possibly this person: <a href="https://au.linkedin.com/in/jasonwaddell">https://au.linkedin.com/in/jasonwaddell</a>
Individual	Industry	Yes				
removify	Industry	Yes				Service to remove defamatory online content
rWA	Industry	Yes	Representing Australia's Wagering Service Providers			Independent peak body for Australian-licensed wagering service providers. Outside scope of SHMA
Yoochooz	Industry	Yes				Device-level cyberbullying prevention - attached to keyboard
Individual	Other	Yes	Education, Advocacy - Cyber Safety	Online Crime - WA Police		Australian cyber safety educator, and 2022 WA Australian of the Year
Multi-stakeholder Working Group on Gaming and Regulation at NYU Stern	Other	No	All of the above. The working group is multi-stakeholder.			The group is focussed on online gaming safety and includes 3 civil society or academic reps, 4 reps from gaming industry including Modulate, and 1 rep from Ofcom.
IO	Other	No	Himanshughhhs			
AISNSW	Other	Yes	Education k-12	K-12 Technology Advisor for Schools		Will be covered by NOSEC roundtable
Australian Women in Security Network	Other	Yes	Women in cyber security			not relevant to SMAR
Canberra Girls Grammar School	Other	Yes	Education			
Catholic Education Western Australia	Other	Yes	K-12 Education	Cybersecurity		Covered by NOSEC roundtable
Education - Small Non Government Schools in Victoria	Other	Yes	Education - Small Non Government Schools in Victoria			Covered by NOSEC roundtable
Growing Pathways Paediatric Occupational Therapy	Other	Yes		An Individual OT working in a practice?		
Harrison Redel Foundation Ltd	Other	Yes	Youth Mental Health Charity technology provider - prevention	Youth Mental Health Charity technology provider - prevention		Created a mental health app - not relevant to SHMA guidance
Individual	Other	Yes	Candid	Candid		
Individual	Other	No	Child Protection and a Parent			
Individual	Other	Yes				Mother of vulnerable children through DFV, children with significant trauma related mental health issues as a result of DFV
Individual	Other	Yes	Cybersecurity			Currently a social media manager and previously an online community manager for a social network for 3.5+ years
Individual	Other	Yes	Cyber Security			
Individual	Other	Yes	University Undergrad student in Communication (Digital Media)/Arts (Sociology, Writing); currently doing an assignment on social media ban (UQ COMU2933)			Importance of education in high school and how that prepares you for the real world, the sociology of digital platforms (disembodied validation and its impact on development)
Individual	Other	Yes	Educator / Ethical AI Advocate			That's My Face
Individual	Other	Yes	I teach social media at TAFE NSW and go to schools and teach kids how to be safe on social media			
Individual	Other	Yes	Work in public sector (state government) but do not represent them	LGBTQIA+ culture (especially transgender culture)		
Individual	Other	Yes	Education	Primary education and digital safety and well-being		
Individual	Other	Yes	Software engineering			
Individual	Other	Yes	Education & Homeschool Parent			
Individual	Other	Yes				
Individual	Other	Yes				
Individual	Other	Yes				
Individual	Other	No	Academia (University of Oxford), industry, and individual (over 10)	I am currently at the University of Oxford, where I research the different conceptualizations of online child safety between civil society, government actors, and industry stakeholders. Prior to this, I worked for three years at WordPress as a Legal		Not Australian based and no published research
Individual	Other	No	Legal			
Individual	Other	Yes	Technology / ICT			

s 22

s 47F

s 22

Individual	Other	Disability advocacy and representation	Yes	Experience with children and young people, and their families who have disabilities eg intellectual disability, ADHD	
Kun Consulting Group	Other	Tech Reg	Yes		s 47F - no clear relevance to SHAR
Law in Schools	Other		Yes	Legal literacy programs delivered in schools including topics of Digital citizenship, cybercrime, online sexual harassment and bullying laws. Presentations are for Principals, teachers, parents and students aged 12+	
Lighthouse Cyber Education	Other		Yes		Education: S is a passionate advocate for legislative change online and social networking platforms taking responsibility for preventing online child exploitation. She was in the WA Police for 16 years and specialised in child protection and technology crime. She is a trained educator and is an expert in cyber security.
Loreto Toorak	Other	Education P-12	Yes		Covered by NOSiC roundtable
Lutheran Education Queensland	Other	Education	Yes		Covered by NOSiC roundtable
Matterworks	Other	Digital health media	Yes		May be useful for comms - pass to CY/StratComms
Pymble Ladies' College	Other	K-12 Education	Yes		Covered by NOSiC roundtable
Social Kung Fu - anti bullying training	Other		Yes		Education program in schools focussed on bullying
St Christopher's primary school, individual	Other	Education sector - young children, their families	Yes		Covered by NOSiC roundtable
St Ursula's College Yepoon	Other	Education Sector	Yes		Covered by NOSiC roundtable
StoryCloud/Criminologist at the Australian Federal Police	Other	Researcher/Criminologist at the AFP, but would want to be involved in an informal capacity (not as a part of my role)	Yes	I have participated in various other roundtables and policy discussions as a part of my role within law enforcement, and would love to have the opportunity to assist here as it's a topic I'm very passionate about.	Individual capacity - no s 47F
Tattarang	Other	Investment	Yes		Covered by NOSiC roundtable
The McDonald College	Other	K-12 Independent School	Yes		Covered by NOSiC roundtable
Trinity Lutheran College	Other	Education	Yes		Law firm, Venable LLP helps organizations and individuals manage and mitigate risk in the online space. Whether it's an issue of data access, account takeover, cyber harassment, child safety, or a government or regulatory investigation, we build, operationalize, and deploy integrated programs and systems designed with people and products in mind.
Venable LLP // Trust & Safety and Human Rights	Other	Consulting services related child online safety	No		Gaming is excluded from DPMs
Veteran Gaming Australia	Other	Veteran and Veteran Families - Charity social services	Yes		No public information available
Victoria Consulting P/L	Other		Yes		Parental control software
VXSAF ENiT Pte Ltd	Other	Cyber security for under 18 youth	No		s 47F - educating youth on cyber safety
www.cyberlife.org	Other		No		

Theme	Technical feasibility and considerations	Notes	AA providers	Notes	Child safety	Notes	Child rights + digital rights	Notes	Privacy + tech regulation
			(all have sent EOI - to be agreed after draft trial report end of June)						
	<b>Group 1</b> ICMEC Australia (S 47F) Human Technology Institute, UTS (S 47F) World Wide Web Consortium (S 47F)	Technical expertise Technical expertise in facial recognitio k-ID Working with Tech Coalition + ex Meta, Geocomply ShareRing Academic with technical expertise in cryptography and law Age Verification Providers Association Venable LLP // Trust & Safety and Human Rights VerityMy			<b>Group 1</b> November (S 47F) The Man C (S 47F) Headspace Orygen ReachOut Orygen were involved in the depar (S 47F) digital rights) Yourtown (aka kids helpline) National Womens Safety Alliance Teach Us Consent ARACY	EDM EDM EDM EDM EDM EDM + TEP	Unicef Australia Digital Rights Watch Human Rights Law Centre Youth Law Australia (S 47F) digital rights) Shights Foundation Electronic Frontiers Australia	EDM EDM EDM EDM EDM EDM	(S 47F) (AILECS labs - ch (S 47F) Picture of Privacy Forum (S 47F) - ARC Centre Of E Reset.Tech.Australia Wikimedia Australia
	<b>Group 2</b> Australian Council on Children and the Media Qoria Vyanams Strategies (Vys) Multi-stakeholder Working Group on Gaming and Regulation at NYU Stern (S 47F)	Safety tech experts youth safety solutions at NYU Stern Chair stakeholder advisory group of AA DigiChek	3 Steps Data Privacy Vaults Online, Inc. (PRIVO) R2 Labs	Participating in AATT					
					<b>Group 2</b> The Carly Ryan Foundation Daniel Morcombe Foundation Alannah & Madeline Foundation Collective Shout Bravehearts Body Safety Australia Sexual Health Victoria South Eastern Centre Against Sexual Assault and Family Violence (SECASA) (S 47F) (risks and protectice factors - sexting and cyberbullying)				
					<b>Group 3</b> CYDA Away from Keyboard NSW Premier's LGBTIQ+ Advisory Council National Taskforce for Social Media, Body Image & Eating Disorders (S 47F) (S 47F) Youndations (Peak for youth homelessness) The Y	No EOI. Came from NSW Premier's Department - Esther Fitzsimon Expertise in social media youth safety intersecting with hate speech and vulnerable groups			
					<b>Group 4</b> Cyber Safety Project Life Ed Australia Empowerment-ED Evolve Education (S 47F) (Impact of social media on child development and wellbeing) (S 47F) (EU Kids Online) (S 47F) Think You Know	Program run by AFP offices			

Notes	Over 16 access and rights	Notes	Parents Seeking to access network of parent representatives through a third party org  Those who EO'd to be included:	Notes	Children and Young people x 3 Seeking to access network of under and over 16ys through AYAC	Gov departments x 1	Law enforcement x 2	International regulators	NOSEC	Children's Commissioners	Notes
Academic with expertise in tech req	Good things Australia	The Parenting Research Centre	PRC might be facilitating the parents roundtable	Those who EO'd to be included	DITRCA	ANZ Child protection v Ireland	S [redacted] organising a	Offered a roundtable			
OZEWAJ	Australian Parents Council	Queensland Independent Schools Parents Network	EDM + TEP	Australian Youth Affairs Coalition	Dept of Education	Cyber commands	Ofcom			Commission for Children and Young People Victoria	EOLD
ALIECS (AI for Law Enforcement am Scope (disability)	Scarlet Alliance, Australian Sex Workers Association	Yourtown (Parentline)	EDM	Multicultural Youth Affairs Network NSW	NSW Dept of Education (EOI)		Singapore				
Law expert + former OAIC	NAAA	Raising Children Network	Federation of Parents and Citizens Associations of NSW (P&C Federation)	eSafety Youth Council	SA Dept of Education (EOI)						
xcellence For The Digital Child, Curtin University	36 Months				AGD						
					Australian Institute of Criminology						
					Department of Finance						
					Department of Health						
					Department of Home Affairs						
					Department of Social Services						
					National Indigenous Australians Agency						
					OAIC						
					<a href="#">Gov contacts</a>						



## Industry that submitted EOI

Apple  
DIGI  
Discord  
Google (YouTube)  
Kick Streaming  
LyveSocial  
Meta  
Microsoft  
Pinterest  
Reddit  
Snap  
TikTok  
Yubo



### How to read the spreadsheet:

- The **first tab** is all of the EOIs we received, categorised as green for selected, red for not selected.
  - All education sector is marked as RED, as this sector will instead be coordinated through a NOSEC roundtable organised by s 22
  - All government officials and law enforcement are marked as RED – these will be coordinated separately with contacts in relevant departments.
- The **second tab** is those selected grouped by theme for roundtables.
  - We are aiming to have roundtables be no more than 10 in each – and one from each organisation.
  - All Trusted eSafety Providers who EOId will be consulted.
  - Roundtables to hear children's views and parents' views are being organised with third parties and therefore not fully captured in this list.
- The **third tab** is 1-1 meetings with industry that submitted an EOI.

Thanks


s 22

Assistant Manager, Industry Supervision


Industry Compliance and Enforcement Branch



s 22



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

Hi  😊 No feedback from me, it was great to have a chance to discuss the groupings with you last week as well.

§ 22

OFFICIAL

The Supervision team has reviewed all EOIs for the **SMAR consultations** and has created a list of proposed roundtables and 1-1 meetings (attached).

1. Any participant we have not selected (highlighted in red) that we should reconsider – if so, why, and where to place them.
2. Any other person or organisation who is not on any of the lists (including the EOI tab) that we should consult with.
3. Any participant selected that you wish to flag might not be suitable for consultation.

@s 22 – taking into account separate discussions we've had about your availability for review, note we may not hear back from you until Friday. Please disregard old list sent and refer to this one.

1

### How to read the spreadsheet:

- The **first tab** is all of the EOIs we received, categorised as green for selected, red for not selected.
  - All education sector is marked as RED, as this sector will instead be coordinated through a NOSEC roundtable organised by s 22.
  - All government officials and law enforcement are marked as RED – these will be coordinated separately with contacts in relevant departments.
- The **second tab** is those selected grouped by theme for roundtables.
  - We are aiming to have roundtables be no more than 10 in each – and one from each organisation.
  - All Trusted eSafety Providers who EOId will be consulted.
  - Roundtables to hear children's views and parents' views are being organised with third parties and therefore not fully captured in this list.
- The **third tab** is 1-1 meetings with industry that submitted an EOI.

Thanks


s 22

Assistant Manager, Industry Supervision

Industry Compliance and Enforcement Branch



s 22



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

---

**From:** s 47F @suicidepreventionaust.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, 27 June 2025 12:22 PM  
**To:** s 22  
**Cc:** s 47F ; s 47E(d) ; s 47F ; s 47F  
**Subject:** Re: Consultation with Suicide Prevention sector on social media,ban regulations [SEC=OFFICIAL]

You don't often get email from s 47F @suicidepreventionaust.org. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear s 22

Yes, please if you can please add Suicide Prevention Australia to the consultation plan for late July.

If you can keep s 47F , and also s 47F looped in as well, that'd be greatly appreciated.

Thanks,

s 47F

---

**From:** s 22 @esafety.gov.au>  
**Sent:** 27 June 2025 11:38  
**To:** s 47F @suicidepreventionaust.org>  
**Cc:** s 47F @suicidepreventionaust.org>; s 47E(d) @eSafety.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: Consultation with Suicide Prevention sector on social media,ban regulations [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

s 47F ,

Thanks for contacting us. We would be very happy to add you to the consultation plan if you would like?

They are all virtual roundtable consultations, it would likely be in late July.

Many thanks

s 22  
Manager, Industry Supervision  
Industry Compliance and Enforcement



eSafety Commissioner



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

---

**From:** s 47F <[redacted]@suicidepreventionaust.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 26 June 2025 5:21 PM  
**To:** s 22 <[redacted]@esafety.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s 47F <[redacted]@suicidepreventionaust.org>  
**Subject:** Consultation with Suicide Prevention sector on social media,ban regulations

You don't often get email from s 47F <[redacted]@suicidepreventionaust.org>. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear s 22

I'm just reaching out to you in your role as Manager, Industry Supervision  
Industry Compliance and Enforcement.

I got your contact details from my colleague s [redacted] at Reachout.

I understand you've been consulting with Reachout as well as some other mental health and suicide prevention organisations.

We would be very interested in discussing the regulations around the ban, and how they'll be enforced.

would it be possible to have a meeting next week?

Warm regards,

s 47F

Director of Policy  
Suicide Prevention Australia  
s 47F

Sent on the go with Vodafone

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

---

**From:** eSafety Commissioner  
**Sent:** Thursday, 29 May 2025 9:46 AM  
**To:** s 22; s 22  
**Cc:** eSafety Commissioner; s 22  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting request and opportunity for consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Hi s 22 and s 22


Thank you so much, let me know if you need me to do anything this end.

Kind regards  
s 22

s 22  
Senior Executive Assistant to the eSafety Commissioner  
Office of the eSafety Commissioner

 [esafety.gov.au](https://esafety.gov.au)

 s 22 | s 22



*eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past and present.*

---

**From:** s 22 @esafety.gov.au  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 28 May 2025 8:53 AM  
**To:** s 22 @eSafety.gov.au  
**Cc:** eSafety Commissioner s 47E(d) @eSafety.gov.au; s 22 @esafety.gov.au  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting request and opportunity for consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**



s 22 ,

Happy to include them as a late EOI and include them in planning for the targeted consultations. This may negate the need for a meeting with the Commissioner, although will leave that to her office to consider.

s 22 will touch base for a quick chat, she is pulling together the final lists so can decide where they would best fit and who responds to them,

Thanks

s 22

Manager, Industry Supervision  
Industry Compliance and Enforcement



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

---

**From:** s 22 <[s22@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@esafety.gov.au)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 27 May 2025 4:35 PM  
**To:** s 22 <[s22@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@esafety.gov.au)>  
**Cc:** eSafety Commissioner s 47E(d) <[s47E\(d\)@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s47E(d)@esafety.gov.au)>; s 22 <[s22@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@esafety.gov.au)>  
**Subject:** FW: Meeting request and opportunity for consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Hi s 22 ,

I have received the below from Suicide Prevention Australia. I believe this relates to your consultation process.

Happy to discussion our relationship with SPA and the work we have done together previously.

Let me know if you need any more information.

s 22

Senior Research Officer



eSafetyCc



eSafetyresearch



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past and present.

---

**From:** s 47F <[s47F@suicidepreventionaust.org](mailto:s47F@suicidepreventionaust.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 27 May 2025 1:39 PM  
**To:** s 22 <[s22@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@esafety.gov.au)>; s 22 <[s22@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@eSafety.gov.au)>  
**Cc:** s 47F <[s47F@suicidepreventionaust.org](mailto:s47F@suicidepreventionaust.org)>; eSafety Commissioner  
s 47E(d) <[s47E\(d\)@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:s47E(d)@eSafety.gov.au)>  
**Subject:** Meeting request and opportunity for consultation

Some people who received this message don't often get email from s 47F <[s47F@suicidepreventionaust.org](mailto:s47F@suicidepreventionaust.org)>. [Learn why this is important](#)

Hi s 22 and s 22 ,

Hope you're both doing well this week.

I'm writing on behalf of Suicide Prevention Australia, the national peak body for the suicide prevention sector, to respectfully request a meeting with the eSafety Commissioner regarding the proposed social media age restrictions, and to express our strong interest in being included in the broader consultation process.

We understand the expressions of interest window has closed, but given the significant intersection between social media use, youth distress and suicide risk, we believe our sector can offer valuable perspectives, particularly from those with lived experience, on the potential impacts of the proposed policy.

We would value the opportunity to share insights from our members, including lived experience perspectives, about the potential impacts on suicide prevention and youth mental health.

Please let us know if we can be included in the consultation process and if there is also a convenient time to meet directly with the Commissioner.

Thank you and warm regards,

s 47F

s 47F

Director of Advocacy  
Suicide Prevention Australia

Phone: s 47F

[www.suicidepreventionaust.org](http://www.suicidepreventionaust.org)

At Suicide Prevention Australia we acknowledge and respect the Traditional Custodians and cultural knowledge holders of the lands upon which we live and work

Suicide Prevention Australia is a flexible workplace. Sometimes I work odd hours to suit my circumstances. Please attend to this email in your ordinary work hours



**OFFICIAL: Sensitive**

# Decision Memo

To	Julie Inman Grant, eSafety Commissioner
	s 22 General Manager, Regulatory Operations Division
	s 22, Executive Manager, Industry Compliance and Enforcement
CC	
From	Industry Supervision team
Date	4 June 2025
Subject	Outcome of expressions of interest for the social media age restrictions consultation
Timing	For decision by 6 June to enable consultation to commence week commencing 23 June
	That you: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Provide any further suggestions and approve the selection of participants for the social media age restrictions consultation</li><li>2. Note the timeline for consultations</li></ol>
Recommendations	
	1. <b>Approved</b> /Not approved
Commissioner	2. Noted/For discussion
Signed and dated	
by Commissioner	

## Background

- Social media age restrictions for Australians under the age of 16 are due to take effect by 11 December 2025. The age restrictions will be implemented in line with the Online Safety Amendment (Social Media Minimum Age) Act 2024.
- On 5 May 2025, eSafety called upon the Australian community, experts and online service providers to express their interest in being consulted on implementation of the age restrictions, including the guidelines that certain social media platforms will have to follow when the restrictions come into effect.
- The call for expressions of interest was issued on eSafety's website and social media channels.

- **Areas of expertise sought included:**
  - The use of age assurance technologies and implementation considerations.
  - Possible impacts on users of age-restricted social media platforms, including in relation to protective factors that support and build resilience for young people and families, as well as possible impacts on privacy and digital rights.
  - Insights into possible circumvention or unintended consequences of the age restrictions, and how to reduce these.
  - Information to help eSafety understand how best to effectively communicate changes to the community, including to young people, parents, carers and educators.
- Applications for expressions of interest closed on 18 May 2025.


## Selection process

- 256 applications were received between 5-18 May 2025. A further 4 were received late, making a total of 260.
- This included expressions of interest from both individuals and those representing organisations. Applicants were asked to select which sector they came from - the breakdown can be seen in the following table:

What sector do you work in or represent?	Number of respondents
Civil society	66
Academia or research	61
Industry	50
Other	43
Individual – Over 18 years	25
Individual – Under 18 years	10
Government representatives or officials	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>260</b>

- 88% of applicants stated they reside in Australia, and 76% said they had not been involved in consultation on the legislation.
- A broad range of knowledge and experience was identified by applicants, with most selecting multiple areas:

## Fields of knowledge and experience



## Roundtables and meetings proposed

- In selecting who will be invited to participate in consultation roundtables or meetings, we used key principles. These can be seen at [Attachment A](#) and will be published on the eSafety website around 6 June to provide transparency to the public.
- An analysis of each applicant against those principles can be seen at [Attachment B](#). In summary:
  - 92 organisations and individuals have been selected for targeted consultation. These have been allocated to either a roundtable or a 1-1 meeting where more appropriate (industry).
  - For industry meetings, we are focusing on platforms likely to be in scope. We will also meet with other services in the tech ecosystem, such as Apple and Vyanams Strategies.
  - Companies with safety tech expertise outside of age assurance have also been selected where they offer other insights, such as technology to reduce harm?.
  - Seven age assurance providers submitted an EOI. A decision on which age assurance vendors we consult with will be made after we review the final AATT report. The Department has advised that the draft final report is likely to be sent to us in staggered sections, starting at the end of June.
  - The remaining 160 applicants will be sent a survey to complete, the results of which will be considered as part of the consultation outcomes. The survey has been drafted with input from research and EPaC. It will be cleared at General Manager level and sent out once consultations commence.
- The selected organisations and individuals have been tentatively grouped into 23 roundtables and 13 1-1 meetings. To fill in gaps in knowledge, we have also identified other organisations and individuals who did not submit an EOI that we propose to invite. Further details can be seen at [Attachment C](#).



- **It is very likely that eSafety's internal assessment and related correspondence will be subject to FOI requests. We have sought to provide considered and consistent assessment capable of withstanding public scrutiny.**
- **Consultations with children and young people, and parents and parenting groups**, are being facilitated by third parties. Procurement processes are underway. Some of the proposed participants in these groups applied through the EOI process, however, more will be sourced through the networks of the third-party facilitators. EPaC have been consulted throughout and will facilitate consultation with eSafety's Youth Council and are supporting all children and parent consultations.
- The **education sector** will be primarily consulted through a roundtable of eSafety's National Online Safety Education Council (NOSEC). We will present to NOSEC on 24 June and offer a consultation at a later date to those that wish to participate. Trusted eSafety Providers are being consulted.
- Consultations will be offered to **DP-REG and Children's Commissioners**. We are working internally to present at the DP-REG Steering Committee meeting on 19 June and offer a consultation at a later date with nominated representatives.
- We are working closely with the **OAIC** and will invite a representative to attend the roundtables to ensure we are in lockstep with them as a co-regulator of the OSA.
- We will consult across the **Commonwealth, state and territory governments**. As a starting point we will use the Online Safety Cross Government Working Group to coordinate. For **law enforcement**, we will coordinate through the ANZ Child protection working group and state and territory cyber commands.
- We are proposing to invite **seven international regulators** to speak with us, to be coordinated with the International team. We are considering whether this can take place through an existing forum.

## Next steps

- Subject to your approval, we will issue invites for the first targeted consultations taking place from week commencing 23 June. A preliminary timeline is at [Attachment D. This timeline may change depending on procurement processes, and the outcome of the legislative rules.](#) We will also add in additional and follow-up meetings as needed and will work closely with the SMAR team to identify these to support drafting of the guidance.
- We will continue with procurement and to work with the third-party facilitators of the children and young people and parents and parenting groups roundtables to support these consultations.
- **Consultation questions and regulatory strawman documents are being drafted and can be further refined through next week's workshop.** Subject to your agreement, these will be cleared at General Manager level, along with pre-consultation information for participants.
- Once the consultations commence, we will regularly publish summaries of them on our website for transparency.

## Consultation

The following teams have been consulted on this memo: all ICE teams, all SER teams, and EPAC (CYF, Education, and Safety Communities).

## Recommendations

1. That you provide any further suggestions and approval of the participants and the plan for consulting on the implementation of the Social Media Age Restrictions.
2. That you note the preliminary timeline for consultations.

## Attachments

**Attachment A** – [Principles for selecting participants](#)

**Attachment B** – [Table of EOI applicants and their proposed selection.](#)

**Attachment C** – [Proposed roundtable groups and 1-1 meetings](#)

**Attachment D** – [Preliminary timeline for roundtables and meetings](#)



## Attachment A – Principles for selecting participants

- Representatives from **organisations will generally be selected rather than individuals**. Organisations offer a more comprehensive approach to gathering diverse perspectives and getting a broader range of input.
- The **number of participants per sector selected** will align with the time and resources available for this consultation. We will consult with peak bodies where available as representatives of a broader sector

### Technology industry

- Digital platforms directly impacted by the implementation of the age restrictions will be prioritised. However, we will also consult with other industry service providers that play important supporting roles.
- Entities with relevant expertise and/or experience of age assurance and complementary safety technologies will be prioritised to inform the reasonable steps guidance.

### Civil society sector

- Civil society, and groups representing children, young people, parents and carers based in Australia, will be prioritised because they will tend to represent those who will be impacted the most. We will also seek to include perspectives and experiences from:
  - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people
  - people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds
  - people with disability
  - people who identify as LGBTIQ+
  - people from regional and remote areas
  - older Australians (who may also be affected by restrictions).
- We will work with existing children and youth organisations, or youth council structures, to ensure children's views are heard and that they are appropriately supported through the consultation process. We will also ensure that a diverse range of children (those under 16 years and older) are consulted.

- Civil society groups with expertise and insights into how the implementation of the social media minimum age restrictions could impact children's rights will form an important part of this consultation.

### **Academic and researcher sector**

- Academics and researchers whose expertise focus on the Australian context will be prioritised, but international academics and researchers will also be considered.
- Academics and researchers who are members of the Academic Advisory Group for the evaluation of the Social Media Minimum Age are unable to participate in this consultation process. This consultation will focus on how eSafety should implement the restrictions, whereas the Academic Advisory Group will be involved in evaluating that implementation.

### **Education sector**

- The Australian schools' sector will be primarily consulted via eSafety's National Online Safety Education Council. The Council includes representatives from the Australian Government's Department of Education as well as state and territory representatives from government, independent and Catholic education sectors.
- Other organisations who are involved in online safety education may also be selected to participate, including Trusted eSafety Providers.

### **Government sector**

eSafety will continue to work with representatives from the Australian Government, as well as state and territory governments throughout the consultation process. This will also extend to international regulators where they have relevant experience and expertise.

Organisation	Sector	Selection category	Notes
AILECS Lab (Monash University/AFP Research Centre)	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation	AILECS brings together Australia's largest university and Australia's national police agency to research the next generation of AI for law enforcement and community safety applications.
ARC Centre of Excellence for the Digital Child, Curtin University	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant research within the Australian context	S's research interests include children's data, privacy and rights in an online world, including the impact of AI on children; visual social media; the activity and regulation of big social media companies, especially in Australia; and the social, casual and mobile gaming landscape. S is part of the ARC Centre of Excellence for the Digital Child.
Consortium for Research in Eating Disorders	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant research within the Australian context	S's recent research has focused on how to keep young people safe online, including online behaviours such as sexting and cyberbullying.
Deakin Cyberpsychology Group	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant research within the Australian context	S
EU Kids Online and Center for Children, youth and media, University of Oslo	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant domain knowledge of children's rights and safety	EU Kids Online is a multinational research network that sits within the London School of Economics. It seeks to enhance knowledge of European children's online opportunities, risks and safety. It uses multiple methods to map children's and parents' experiences of the internet, in dialogue with national and European policy stakeholders. S is the coordinator.
Human Technology Institute, UTS	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation	S manages major HTI projects training in responsible AI, rights, facial recognition, digital identity, age assurance, and the social and organisational implications of new technologies. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Leiden University	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - relevant age-assurance or complementary technology knowledge or specialisation	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Monash University and ChildLight UNSW Orygen	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Oxford Internet Institute, University of Oxford	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Queensland University of Technology	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant research within the Australian context	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
The University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
University of Sydney	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant research within the Australian context	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
UNSW Sydney	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
UTS	Academia or research	Selected for roundtable - Relevant research within the Australian context	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
36 Months	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - parents and carers	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
SRights Foundation	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant domain knowledge of children's rights and safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Alannah & Madeline Foundation	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
ARACY - Australian Research Alliance for Children and Youth	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Australia Web Accessibility Initiative (OZeWAI)	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - other community members	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Australian Council on Children and the Media	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - relevant age-assurance or complementary technology knowledge or specialisation	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Australian Parents Council	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - parents and carers	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Away from Keyboard Inc.	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
AYAC	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Body Safety Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Bravehearts	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Children and Young People with Disability Australia (CYDA)	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Collective Shout	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Cyber Safety Project	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Daniel Morcombe Foundation	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Digital Rights Watch	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant domain knowledge of children's rights and safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Electronic Frontiers Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Perspectives and concerns of an impacted community	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Empowerment-Ed	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Evolve Education	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Federation of Parents and Citizens Associations of NSW (P&C Federation)	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - parents and carers	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Good Things Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - other community members	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
headspace National	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Human Rights Law Centre	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant domain knowledge of children's rights and safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
ICMEC Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Life Ed Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
Movember	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
MYAN NSW	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
NAAJA	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - other community members	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
National Taskforce for Social Media, Body Image & Eating Disorders	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.
National Womens Safety Alliance	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth	S is the coordinator. S's areas of expertise include human rights and digital technologies.

Queensland Independent Schools Parents Network	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - parents and carers
Raising Children Network	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - parents and carers
ReachOut Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
Resel Tech Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation
Scarlet Alliance, Australian Sex Workers Association	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - other community members
Scope	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - other community members
Sexual Health Victoria	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety
South Eastern Centre Against Sexual Assault and Family Violence (SECASA)	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety
Suicide Prevention Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - diverse perspectives
Teach Us Consent	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
The Carly Ryan Foundation	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety
The Man Cave	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
The Y	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
UNICEF Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant domain knowledge of children's rights and safety
World Wide Web Consortium	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation
Yfoundations	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
youtown	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
Youth Law Australia	Civil Society	Selected for roundtable - Relevant domain knowledge of children's rights and safety
ACT Education Directorate	Government representative	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
Commission for Children and Young People Victoria	Government representative	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
NSW Dept of Education	Government representative	Selected for roundtable - Represents or understands the perspectives of impacted communities - children and youth
Age Verification Providers Association	Industry	Selected for roundtable - relevant age-assurance or complementary technology knowledge or specialisation
Apple	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Digital Industry Group Inc	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Discord	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Google	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Individual	Industry	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation
Kick Streaming	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Kick Streaming Pty Ltd	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Kick.com	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
LyveSocial	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
LyveSocial	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Meta	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Meta	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Microsoft	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Pinterest	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Garia	Industry	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation
Reddit, Inc.	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Snap Inc.	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
TikTok	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Vyanams Strategies (Vys)	Industry	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation
Wikimedia Australia	Industry	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation
Yubo	Industry	Selected for 1-1 meeting
Individual	Other	Selected for roundtable - Sector-specific knowledge or experience in children's online safety
Multi-stakeholder Working Group on Gaming and Regulation at NYU Stern	Other	Selected for roundtable - Relevant tech regulation policy knowledge or specialisation
3 Steps Data and the Cybersecurity Advisors Network	Industry	
DigiChek	Industry	
GeoComply	Industry	
K-ID	Industry	
Privacy Vaults Online, Inc. (PRIVO)	Industry	
R2 Labs Pty Ltd	Industry	
ShareRing	Industry	
Verifmy	Industry	
ARC Centre of Excellence for the Digital Child	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute
ARC Centre Of Excellence For The Digital Child, Curtin University	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute
ARC Centre of Excellence of the Digital Child, University of Wollongong	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute

The Queensland Independent Schools Parents Network (QIS Parents Network) is the recognised representative body of parents who choose an independent education for their children. They have provided updates to their parent subscribers on the SMMA via EDMs raisingchildren.net.au is an Australian online parenting resource from pregnancy and birth to raising teens. Aligned to the Parenting Research Centre.

ReachOut.com is an online youth mental health and wellbeing service helping young Australians

Resel Tech, and leads Resel Tech's global research pipeline National peak body representing sex workers and sex worker organisations and projects in Australia

Scope is one of the largest not-for-profit disability service providers in Australia

SHV seeks to advance sexual health literacy and build capacity through education services within the primary care and community-based systems

SECASA is the largest sexual assault and family violence service provider across the south-east metropolitan Melbourne. Emailed EOI. Second contact i

S 47F

Emailed late EOI.

Teach Us Consent is a youth-led not for profit organisation that advocates for and provides holistic and age-appropriate consent education globally.

Delivers online safety and healthy relationship seminars to students and parents. The Man Cave is an Australian Not for profit that delivers school-based programs to empower teenage boys with the emotional tools, self-awareness, and positive role models they need to grow into healthy, respectful young men.

The Y Australia (formerly YMCA Australia) offering programs and services that support children, young people, and communities, including services that children in out of home care.

Digital Policy Lead at UNICEF Australia. John Livingstone is the Head of Digital Policy at UNICEF Australia. Email EOI received. Can provide insights into the current technology stack limitations. W3C is an international multi-stakeholder community where member organizations, a full-time staff, and the public work together to develop open web standards.

Yfoundations is the NSW peak body for children and young people at risk of and experiencing homelessness.

youtown is the not-for-profit organisation that operates Kids Helpline. Kids Helpline is Australia's only free, confidential 24/7 counselling and support service specifically for children and young people aged 5 to 25.

Youth Law Australia provides free legal advice for young people and is a member of the Child Rights Taskforce, which is Australia's peak children's rights body made up of over 100 organisations.

NOSEC - member

A childrens commissioners' roundtable is being organised

NOSEC - member

Global trade body for the suppliers of age assurance technology

Garia offer products to the education sector to support online safety

Garia is a recognized commentator on child safety and privacy. Vyanams Strategies is a consultancy focussed on age appropriate design.

Not for profit with technical expertise that advocates for online privacy and rights. Yubo is a social networking platform that enables users to connect through live video and chat, with features such as age verification

Australian cyber safety educator. The group is focussed on online gaming safety and includes 5 civil society or academic reps, 4 reps from gaming industry including Modulate, and 1 rep from Ofcom.

Participating in AATF

Participating in AATF

Participating in AATF - GeoComply offers geolocation security and compliance. Participating in AATF - K-ID infrastructure includes age assurance, parental consent, and regional compliance tools, helping platforms adapt features, permissions, and controls based on each user's age and local laws.

Participating in AATF - Offerings include "PRIVO ID Platform" - age assurance and identity verification and "Kids Privacy Assured" program to meet data regulation requirements.

Participating in AATF - their product SafeGen uses registered children's phone numbers. Ric is on an advisory panel for NSW aao digital identity. participating in AATF - ShareRing is a blockchain-based digital identity and transaction platform participating in AATF - offering a range of age assurance solutions



Assessment and Evaluation Research Centre, The University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Centre for AI and Digital Ethics, The University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Critical Digital Infrastructures and Interfaces - Deakin University	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Deakin University	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Edith Cowan University	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Edith Cowan University	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Edith Cowan University; The Kids Research Institute	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
EU Kids Online (EUKO)	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
EU Kids Online/Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
Individual (within JCU)	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Individual (within UniSA)	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Individual (within UniSyd)	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
Individual	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Individual	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Individual	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Individual	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
individual (within UniMelb)	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
individual	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Individual	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Individual	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Individual (within University of Sydney)	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
MILC School Sydney	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Monash University	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Monash University	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
Redeemer Lutheran College (Junior School)	Academia or research	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
Redeemer Lutheran College Bialla	Academia or research	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
RMIT University	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
The University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
The University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
The University of Queensland	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
The University of Wollongong	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
University of Canberra	Academia or research	Selected for survey	
University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
University of Melbourne	Academia or research	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
University of Wollongong	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
University of Wollongong (UOW)	Academia or research	Selected for survey	Initial assessment showed less nexus to SMMA than other academics
Alannah & Madeline Foundation	Civil Society	Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
All saints Anglican school	Civil Society	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
ChildSafeNet	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Co-Governor, Start Smart Screen Coalition	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Cyber Safety Project	Civil Society	Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
Darebin Youth Services	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Future of Privacy Forum	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
headspace National	Civil Society	Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
Individual	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Individual	Civil Society	Selected for survey - Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
individual	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Individual	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Individual	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Individual	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Individual	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Individual / Centre for Excellence in Child and Family Welfare TAS VIC	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Minderoo Foundation	Civil Society	Selected for survey	Philanthropic entity with less nexus to SMMA
Minderoo Foundation	Civil Society	Selected for survey	Philanthropic entity with less nexus to SMMA
MissionLibre	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
P8West	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
PinkUmbrella International	Civil Society	Selected for survey	Life skills and empowerment teaching - not specific to online or SMAR
Saint John's Catholic Primary School	Civil Society	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	Awareness raising and primary prevention of domestic violence amongst CALD communities in Australia.
Sexual Health Victoria	Civil Society	Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute	
St Peters Lutheran College Springfield	Civil Society	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
The Association of Independent Schools of NSW	Civil Society	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
The Dialogue	Civil Society	Selected for survey	
Department for Education	Government representative	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
Department for Education, Cyber Security	Government representative	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
Individual	Government representative	Selected for survey	
Victoria Police	Government representative	Selected for survey - will be covered by Law Enforcement roundtable	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Selected for survey	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Selected for survey	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Selected for survey	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Selected for survey	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Selected for survey	
Individual	Individual - Over 18 years	Selected for survey	

€ 47F non-profit  
No web footprint for this organisation. S looks to have some relevant expertise. Advisor to Children and Media Australia (this org will be consulted)

S advocacy group  
This person is member of Electronic Frontiers Australia - which sent in a separate EOI

€ 47F

Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
Individual		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey
St Peter's College		Individual – Over 18 years	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
Individual - but I also am the founder of a small nonprofit organisation called Youth 4 Youth Inc ( <a href="http://www.youth4youthvic.com/">www.youth4youthvic.com/</a> )		Individual – Under 18 years	Individuals under 18 to be covered by youth consultation
BiteLife	Industry		Selected for survey
CircleTalk Australia	Industry		Selected for survey
Individual	Industry		Selected for survey
Individual	Industry		Selected for survey
individual	Industry		Selected for survey
Removify	Industry		Selected for survey
RWA	Industry		Selected for survey
Wikimedia Australia	Industry		Alternative representative selected from the relevant org/institute
Tooclose2ooz	Industry		Selected for survey
AISNSW	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Australian Women in Security Network	Other		Selected for survey
Canberra Girls Grammar School	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Catholic Education Western Australia	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Education - Small Non Government Schools in Victoria	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Growing Pathways Paediatric Occupational Therapy	Other		Selected for survey
Harrison Riedel Foundation Ltd	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
individual	Other		Selected for survey
individual	Other		Selected for survey
individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Individual	Other		Selected for survey
Law Consulting Group	Other		Selected for survey
Kun In Schools	Other		Selected for survey
Lighthouse Cyber Education	Other		Selected for survey
Loreto Toorak	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Lutheran Education Queensland	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Mattworks	Other		Selected for survey
Pymble Ladies' College	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
Social Kung Fu - anti bullying training	Other		Selected for survey
St Christopher's primary school, individual	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education
St Ursula's College Yppoon	Other		Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education

BiteNite is a video game available on Steam  
Online service connecting neurodivergent patients to wrap around services

Service to remove defamatory online content  
independent peak body for Australian-licensed wagering service providers.

Device-level cyberbullying prevention - attached to keyboard

Cybersecurity focus

Mental health focussed, with less nexus to SMMA than others

**S** [redacted] researcher

§ 47F  
Offers education services for cyber safety

Company that creates health education programs

Education program in schools focussed on bullying

StoryCloud/Criminologist at the Australian Federal Police	Other	Selected for survey - will be covered by Law Enforcement roundtable	
Tattarang	Other	Selected for survey	
The McDonald College	Other	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
Trinity Lutheran College	Other	Selected for survey - will be covered by NOSEC roundtable for education	
Venable LLP // Trust & Safety	Other	Selected for survey	Law firm.
and Human Rights			
Veteran Gaming Australia	Other	Selected for survey	
Victoria Consulting P/L	Other	Selected for survey	No public information available
VX3AFENET Pte Ltd	Other	Selected for survey	Parental control software
www.cyberfile.org	Other	Selected for survey	s 47F - educating youth on cyber safety

## SMAR consultation roundtables and 1-1 meetings

No EOI - will be invited

### Group 1 - Tech experts

ICMEC Australia (Colm Gannon)  
Human Technology Institute, UTS s 47F )

s 47F  
World Wide Web Consortium

s 47F  
Digital Trust and Safety Partnership

### Group 2 - Tech experts

s 47F (AILECS labs)

Goria  
Vyanams Strategies  
Multi-stakeholder Working Group on Gaming and  
Regulation at NYU Stern

s 47F

### Group 3 - age assurance providers

To be agreed after trial report

National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Age Verification Providers Association

### Group 4 - Online safety education

Empowerment-ED

Evolve Education  
Life Ed Australia  
Cyber Safety Project

Alannah & Madeline Foundation

### Group 5 - CSEA

The Carly Ryan Foundation  
Daniel Morcombe Foundation  
Collective Shout  
Bravehearts

Childlight s 47F )  
Body Safety Australia  
Think You Know

International Justice Mission

### Group 6 - Diverse perspectives

Children and Young People with Disability  
Australia  
Away from Keyboard  
NSW Premier's LGBTIQ+ Advisory Council  
National Taskforce for Social Media, Body Image  
& Eating Disorders  
Professor s 47F (eating disorders)  
s 47F, UTS

Yfoundations (Peak for youth homelessness)  
The Y

Dr s 47F  
Proud Spirit/First Nations Peoples Aboriginal  
Corp/SNAIC/BlaQ Aboriginal Corporation  
LGBTIQ+ Health Australia/Transcend/Minus18

### Group 7 - General child wellbeing and safety

s 47F  
Sexual Health Victoria  
National Womens Safety Alliance  
Teach Us Consent  
Movember s 47F )  
The Man Cave  
South Eastern Centre Against Sexual Assault and  
Family Violence (SECASA)

### Group 8 - Mental health

Headspace  
Orygen  
ReachOut  
Yourtown (aka kids helpline)  
ARACY  
Suicide Prevention Australia  
Black Dog

### Group 9 - algorithms and addictive design

Prof s 47F (ARC Centre of Excellence for  
the Digital Child)

s 47F

Prof s 47F (Flinders Uni, gaming)  
s 47F  
s 47F  
Multi-stakeholder Working Group on Gaming and  
Regulation at NYU Stern

### Group 10 - child rights and digital rights

Unicef Australia  
Digital Rights Watch  
Human Rights Law Centre  
Youth Law Australia

s 47F

5Rights Foundation  
Electronic Frontiers Australia  
Australian Child Rights Taskforce

### Group 11 - privacy and tech regulation

s 47F  
s 47F  
s 47F  
s 47F  
Reset.Tech.Australia  
Wikimedia Australia

### Group 12 - over 16 access and rights

Good things Australia  
OZeWAI  
Scope (disability)

Scarlet Alliance, Australian Sex Workers Association  
North Australian Aboriginal Justice Agency  
Have reached out to NIAA for further contacts

Australian Council on Children and the Media

### Group 13 - government departments

Still considering how to group government - likely  
multiple groups.

DITRCA  
AGD

Australian Institute of Criminology  
Department of Finance

Department of Health  
Department of Home Affairs  
Department of Social Services  
National Indigenous Australians Agency  
OAI (also invited to all roundtables)  
Department of Defence  
NSW Premier and Cabinet  
SA Premier and Cabinet

### Group 14 - law enforcement

ANZ Child protection working group

### Group 15 - law enforcement

State and territory cyber commands

### Group 16 - international regulators

Ireland  
Ofcom

Singapore  
France

Indonesia  
NZ  
EU (DG CNECT)

### Group 17 - Educators

NOSEC roundtable being organised

### Group 18 - DP-REG

### Group 19 - Children's Commissioners

One EOI received but will reach out to all

Commission for Children and Young People Victoria

### Group 20 - Parents

Seeking to access network of parent representatives  
through a third party org  
Those who EOI'd to be included:

The Parenting Research Centre (potential facilitator)  
Australian Parents Council  
Queensland Independent Schools Parents Network  
Yourtown (Parentline)  
Raising Children Network  
Federation of P&C Associations of NSW  
36 Months

Seeking to access network of under and over 16s  
through AYAC

Group 21 - Children under 16

Group 22 - Children and young people over 16

### Group 23 - Youth Councils

Australian Youth Affairs Coalition

Multicultural Youth Affairs Network NSW

eSafety Youth Council

### 1-1 meetings with industry

Apple

DIGI  
Discord

Google (YouTube)  
Kick Streaming  
LyveSocial  
Meta  
Microsoft  
Pinterest  
Reddit  
Snap

TikTok  
Yubo

Match Group



---

**From:** s 22  
**Sent:** Thursday, 12 June 2025 8:38 AM  
**To:** Julie Inman Grant s 22  
**Cc:** s 22; s 22; s 22  
**Subject:** RE: s 22 replied to a comment in "250604 - Decision Memo - Outcome of EOI process for SMAR consultation docx" [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Attachments:** CMRDB\_25-00002\_Decision Memo - Outcome of EOI process for SMAR consultation docx.pdf

**OFFICIAL**

Hi All

Please find attached signed copy.

Thank you so much

s 22


s 22

Senior Executive Assistant to the eSafety Commissioner  
Office of the eSafety Commissioner

 [esafety.gov.au](https://esafety.gov.au)



s 22 | s 22



*eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past and present.*

---

**From:** Julie Inman Grant s 47E(d) @eSafety.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 June 2025 5:15 PM  
**To:** s 22 @esafety.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s 22 @eSafety.gov.au>; s 22 @esafety.gov.au>; s 22 @esafety.gov.au>; s 22 @esafety.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: s 22 replied to a comment in "250604 - Decision Memo - Outcome of EOI process for SMAR consultation docx" [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Excellent brief. Clear and concise. Sorry for the delay – I'm in deluge mode at the moment (as we all are). I have approved and noted but I don't have my digital signature on this device so s 22 will have to provide. Great job on this and it will be exciting to get this all underway! Julie

From: s 22 <[redacted]@esafety.gov.au>

Sent: Thursday, 5 June 2025 3:18 PM

To: Julie Inman Grant <s 47E(d) [redacted]@eSafety.gov.au>

Subject: s 22 [redacted] replied to a comment in "250604 - Decision Memo - Outcome of EOI process for SMAR consultation docx"



## [250604 - Decision Memo - Outcome of EOI process for SMAR consultation docx.docx](#)



You left a comment

As discussed, I don't think we need to get this online June 6. Instead, we may consider sending up a minsub that more formally advises the Minister's office of this consultation process, the academic advisory board and confirmation that we will move forward with developing the "reasonable steps" to give her confidence that the processes we have underway as the regulator are on-track and that we will later incorporate outputs from the AATT and decisions made under the legislative rules.



AK

s 22 [redacted] replied

Noted thanks s 22 [redacted]

...ltiple areas:

Roundtables and meetings proposed

In selecting who will be invited to participate in consultation roundtables or meetings, we used key principles. These can be seen at [Attachment A](#) and will be published on the eSafety website around 6 June to provide transparency to the public.


An analysis of each applicant against those principles can be seen at Attachment B. In summary: 92 organisations and individuals have been selected for targeted consultation. These have been allocated to eit...

[Go to comment](#)

[Why am I receiving this notification from Office?](#)

**OFFICIAL: Sensitive**

# Decision Memo

To	Julie Inman Grant, eSafety Commissioner
	s 22 General Manager, Regulatory Operations Division
	s 22, Executive Manager, Industry Compliance and
CC	Enforcement
From	Industry Supervision team
Date	4 June 2025
Subject	Outcome of expressions of interest for the social media age restrictions consultation
Timing	For decision by 6 June to enable consultation to commence week commencing 23 June
	That you:
	1. Provide any further suggestions and approve the selection of participants for the social media age restrictions consultation
	2. Note the timeline for consultations
Recommendations	
	1. <b>Approved</b> /Not approved
Commissioner	2. Noted/For discussion
Signed and dated by Commissioner	 11 June 2025

## Background

- Social media age restrictions for Australians under the age of 16 are due to take effect by 11 December 2025. The age restrictions will be implemented in line with the Online Safety Amendment (Social Media Minimum Age) Act 2024.
- On 5 May 2025, eSafety called upon the Australian community, experts and online service providers to express their interest in being consulted on implementation of the age restrictions, including the guidelines that certain social media platforms will have to follow when the restrictions come into effect.
- The call for expressions of interest was issued on eSafety's website and social media channels.

- **Areas of expertise sought included:**

- The use of age assurance technologies and implementation considerations.
- Possible impacts on users of age-restricted social media platforms, including in relation to protective factors that support and build resilience for young people and families, as well as possible impacts on privacy and digital rights.
- Insights into possible circumvention or unintended consequences of the age restrictions, and how to reduce these.
- Information to help eSafety understand how best to effectively communicate changes to the community, including to young people, parents, carers and educators.

- Applications for expressions of interest closed on 18 May 2025.


## Selection process

- 256 applications were received between 5-18 May 2025. A further 4 were received late, making a total of 260.
- This included expressions of interest from both individuals and those representing organisations. Applicants were asked to select which sector they came from - the breakdown can be seen in the following table:

What sector do you work in or represent?	Number of respondents
Civil society	66
Academia or research	61
Industry	50
Other	43
Individual – Over 18 years	25
Individual – Under 18 years	10
Government representatives or officials	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>260</b>

- 88% of applicants stated they reside in Australia, and 76% said they had not been involved in consultation on the legislation.
- A broad range of knowledge and experience was identified by applicants, with most selecting multiple areas:

## Fields of knowledge and experience



## Roundtables and meetings proposed

- In selecting who will be invited to participate in consultation roundtables or meetings, we used key principles. These can be seen at [Attachment A](#) and will be published on the eSafety website around 6 June to provide transparency to the public.
- An analysis of each applicant against those principles can be seen at [Attachment B](#). In summary:
  - 92 organisations and individuals have been selected for targeted consultation. These have been allocated to either a roundtable or a 1-1 meeting where more appropriate (industry).
  - For industry meetings, we are focusing on platforms likely to be in scope. We will also meet with other services in the tech ecosystem, such as Apple and Vyanams Strategies.
  - Companies with safety tech expertise outside of age assurance have also been selected where they offer other insights, such as technology to reduce harm?.
  - Seven age assurance providers submitted an EOI. A decision on which age assurance vendors we consult with will be made after we review the final AATT report. The Department has advised that the draft final report is likely to be sent to us in staggered sections, starting at the end of June.
  - The remaining 160 applicants will be sent a survey to complete, the results of which will be considered as part of the consultation outcomes. The survey has been drafted with input from research and EPaC. It will be cleared at General Manager level and sent out once consultations commence.
- The selected organisations and individuals have been tentatively grouped into 23 roundtables and 13 1-1 meetings. To fill in gaps in knowledge, we have also identified other organisations and individuals who did not submit an EOI that we propose to invite. Further details can be seen at [Attachment C](#).



- **It is very likely that eSafety's internal assessment and related correspondence will be subject to FOI requests. We have sought to provide considered and consistent assessment capable of withstanding public scrutiny.**
- **Consultations with children and young people, and parents and parenting groups**, are being facilitated by third parties. Procurement processes are underway. Some of the proposed participants in these groups applied through the EOI process, however, more will be sourced through the networks of the third-party facilitators. EPaC have been consulted throughout and will facilitate consultation with eSafety's Youth Council and are supporting all children and parent consultations.
- The **education sector** will be primarily consulted through a roundtable of eSafety's National Online Safety Education Council (NOSEC). We will present to NOSEC on 24 June and offer a consultation at a later date to those that wish to participate. Trusted eSafety Providers are being consulted.
- Consultations will be offered to **DP-REG and Children's Commissioners**. We are working internally to present at the DP-REG Steering Committee meeting on 19 June and offer a consultation at a later date with nominated representatives.
- We are working closely with the **OAIC** and will invite a representative to attend the roundtables to ensure we are in lockstep with them as a co-regulator of the OSA.
- We will consult across the **Commonwealth, state and territory governments**. As a starting point we will use the Online Safety Cross Government Working Group to coordinate. For **law enforcement**, we will coordinate through the ANZ Child protection working group and state and territory cyber commands.
- We are proposing to invite **seven international regulators** to speak with us, to be coordinated with the International team. We are considering whether this can take place through an existing forum.

## Next steps

- Subject to your approval, we will issue invites for the first targeted consultations taking place from week commencing 23 June. A preliminary timeline is at **Attachment D**. This timeline may change depending on procurement processes, and the outcome of the legislative rules. We will also add in additional and follow-up meetings as needed and will work closely with the SMAR team to identify these to support drafting of the guidance.
- We will continue with procurement and to work with the third-party facilitators of the children and young people and parents and parenting groups roundtables to support these consultations.
- **Consultation questions and regulatory strawman documents are being drafted and can be further refined through next week's workshop.** Subject to your agreement, these will be cleared at General Manager level, along with pre-consultation information for participants.
- Once the consultations commence, we will regularly publish summaries of them on our website for transparency.

## Consultation

The following teams have been consulted on this memo: all ICE teams, all SER teams, and EPAC (CYF, Education, and Safety Communities).

## Recommendations

1. That you provide any further suggestions and approval of the participants and the plan for consulting on the implementation of the Social Media Age Restrictions.
2. That you note the preliminary timeline for consultations.

## Attachments

**Attachment A** – [Principles for selecting participants](#)

**Attachment B** – [Table of EOI applicants and their proposed selection.](#)

**Attachment C** – [Proposed roundtable groups and 1-1 meetings](#)

**Attachment D** – [Preliminary timeline for roundtables and meetings](#)

---

**From:** s 22  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 23 July 2025 11:24 AM  
**To:** s 22; s 22; s 22  
**Cc:** s 22; eSafety Industry Affairs  
**Subject:** RE: First Nations SMAR consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Thanks s 22 that makes sense.

In that case, I suggest we should prioritise national organisations. The state/territory-based First Nations children's peaks would have valuable insights, they are more broadly represented by the national peak, SNAICC.

I suggest inviting the following organisations to provide representation across a range of relevant sectors.

- [First Nations Media Australia](mailto:enquiries@firstnationsmedia.org.au) - [enquiries@firstnationsmedia.org.au](mailto:enquiries@firstnationsmedia.org.au)
- [NATSIEC](mailto:policy@natsiec.edu.au) - [policy@natsiec.edu.au](mailto:policy@natsiec.edu.au)
- [ANTAR](mailto:hello@antar.org.au) - [hello@antar.org.au](mailto:hello@antar.org.au)

s 22 – I don't necessarily have contacts for the most appropriate individuals. I think the approach of contacting the organisation directly and having them nominate a representative is the most sensible.

Happy to discuss.

s 22  
☎ s 22

---

**From:** s 22 <s 22@esafety.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 22 July 2025 6:24 PM  
**To:** s 22 <s 22@esafety.gov.au>; s 22 <s 22@esafety.gov.au>;  
s 22 <s 22@esafety.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s 22 <s 22@esafety.gov.au>; s 47E(d) <s 47E(d)@esafety.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: First Nations SMAR consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Hi s 22

We would like to keep the number of participants to a maximum of 10. And yes agree that we will invite the whole advisory group so that might make it tricky with numbers. My sense was that potentially only the co-chairs will come though.

With this in mind, I think it might be a good idea if we initially invite 4 or 5 other organisations to start and see what kind of response we get. Happy to take your lead on who these should be.

To note as well we have KJR's Cultural Advisor on our list to find a contact for – they were an advisor to the Age Assurance Technology Trial.



s 22

---

**From:** s 22 <[redacted]@eSafety.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 22 July 2025 5:24 PM  
**To:** s 22 <[redacted]@esafety.gov.au>; s 22 <[redacted]@esafety.gov.au>; s 22 <[redacted]@esafety.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s 22 <[redacted]@eSafety.gov.au>; s 47E(d) <[redacted]@eSafety.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: First Nations SMAR consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Hi s 22

Thanks for your email. I've responded in green below.

FYI- I've just prepared the individual invitations for these contacts. I'll send them out in the morning.


s 22	[redacted]
s 47F	[redacted]@naaja.org.au
s 47F	[redacted]@infrastructure.gov.au

If you provide me a list with a contact name and organisation I can prepare the invites.  
Would you prefer to send them on? Happy to have a quick chat to discuss how to approach it.

Thanks  
s 22

s 22 She/Her

Industry Supervision Officer  
Industry, Compliance and Enforcement



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

---

**From:** s 22 <[redacted]@esafety.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 22 July 2025 5:11 PM  
**To:** s 22 <[redacted]@eSafety.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s 22 <[redacted]@eSafety.gov.au>; s 22 <[redacted]@esafety.gov.au>; s 47E(d) <[redacted]@eSafety.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: First Nations SMAR consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

## OFFICIAL

Hi again s 22

Just a couple of questions to help inform this process.

Do we have a preferred number of attendees for these consultations? Also, will we be submitting the invites on an individual or organisation basis – for example, could an organisation send multiple people? We have generally been selecting one representative per organisation.

Did NAAJA self-nominate to participate? I'm just curious as it may raise the question of whether other Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander legal services should also receive an invite. Yes NAAJA responded to the expression of interest process.

Some potential additions identified below. In line with existing list, I have focussed on organisations with national or statewide reach.

- [First Nations Media Australia](#)
- [NATSIEC](#)
- [AbSec NSW](#)
- [QATSICPP](#)
- [History - Wakwakurna Kanyini](#)
- [Victorian Aboriginal Child and Community Agency | VACCA](#)
- [Australian Indigenous Psychologists Association](#)
- [ANTAR](#)

In terms of the First Nations Digital Inclusion Advisory Group, I think we should be clear that our invitation that we would welcome any and all Advisory Group and Expert Panel members, as opposed to just the Co-Chairs. There is a great deal of expertise amongst the group. Thank you for this insight. @s 22 keen to get your perspective on this.

Kind regards,

s 22

☎ s 22

---

From: eSafety Industry Affairs <[Industry@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:Industry@eSafety.gov.au)>

Sent: Tuesday, 22 July 2025 10:58 AM

To: s 22 <[s22@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@eSafety.gov.au)>; s 22 <[s22@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@esafety.gov.au)>

Cc: s 22 <[s22@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@esafety.gov.au)>; s 47E(d) <[s47E\(d\)@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:s47E(d)@eSafety.gov.au)>; s 22 <[s22@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@eSafety.gov.au)>

<[s22@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@eSafety.gov.au)>

Subject: RE: First Nations SMAR consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

## OFFICIAL

Hi s 22

We have locked in the time below. eSafety participants will include s 22, s 22, Industry Supervision and SMAR.

### First Nations SMAR consultation

Date: Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

Time: 1.30pm – 2.30pm [AEST]

Location: Online via Microsoft Teams Link

@s 22 Who do you think should be invited to the event? Would you mind sending some suggestions?

Our current suggested list is:

- NAAJA – confirmed – we just need to send the invite
- First Nations Digital Inclusion Advisory Group
- Proud Spirit
- First Nations Peoples Aboriginal Corp
- SNAIC
- BlaQ Aboriginal Corporation
- KJR's Cultural Advisor

Ideally, we would send invitations by COB tomorrow. Would that be possible?

If you send me the contact details, I can send you back a personalised invitation for each. Perhaps you could tailor the emails as necessary and provide a warm introduction to the SMAR consultations. s 22 did a similar process for the LGBTQI+ contacts.


Happy to chat on the phone if that's easier.

Thanks

s 22

s 22 She/Her

Industry Supervision Officer  
Industry, Compliance and Enforcement



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

---

**From:** s 22 <[@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:@eSafety.gov.au)>  
**Sent:** Friday, 18 July 2025 5:01 PM  
**To:** s 22 <[@esafety.gov.au](mailto:@esafety.gov.au)>  
**Cc:** s 22 <[@esafety.gov.au](mailto:@esafety.gov.au)>; s 47E(d) <[@eSafety.gov.au](mailto:@eSafety.gov.au)>  
**Subject:** First Nations SMAR consultation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Hi s 22

Thanks for the chat today. Should be a really interesting consultation so it's great to have your support with the logistics.

Timing

In terms of timing for the First Nations consultation like Thursday 10am or Wednesday 3pm might work. I'll confirm Monday or Tuesday.

We'll likely need to get invitations out by Wednesday 25<sup>th</sup> July to ensure enough time to organise everything.

### Invitations

Here is an example of the invitations we have been sending.

 [SMAR consultation invitation - NAAJA.docx](#)

Once we have a date locked in we can send this to NAAJA and you could forward the invitation to other organisations that might like to participate.

Also, we be happy for any advice you have on tailoring the invitation to be appropriate to the audience.

Please reach out if you have any questions.


Thanks

s 22

s 22

She/Her

Industry Supervision Officer  
Industry, Compliance and Enforcement



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.



---

**From:** s 47F @snaicc.org.au>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 31 July 2025 9:21 AM  
**To:** s 22  
**Subject:** Re: eSafety Commissioner - social media minimum age obligation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Hi s 22 and thanks s 47F for the connection.

Yes please add me to the broader roundtable.

Thanks,  
s 47F

---

**From:** s 22 @eSafety.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 29 July 2025 3:36 PM  
**To:** s 47F @parentingrc.org.au>; s 47F @snaicc.org.au>  
**Cc:** s 47E(d) @eSafety.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: eSafety Commissioner - social media minimum age obligation [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**CAUTION:** EXTERNAL EMAIL Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**OFFICIAL**

Thank you, s – I appreciate you putting s 47F and I in touch.

Nice to meet you, s 47F. The broader roundtable for First Nations organisations is to be held on the 6<sup>th</sup> August. The details are below.

**Details**


Date: Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> August, 2025  
Time: 1.30pm – 2.30pm  
Location: Online via Microsoft Teams Link

If you are happy for me to add you to the roundtable, I'll send through further information.

Thank you for getting in touch,  
s 22

s 22 She/Her

Industry Supervision Officer  
Industry, Compliance and Enforcement





eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

---

**From:** s 47F @parentingrc.org.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 29 July 2025 2:32 PM  
**To:** s 47F @snaicc.org.au; s 22 @eSafety.gov.au>  
**Subject:** Re: eSafety Commissioner - social media minimum age obligation

Hi s 47F ,

Thanks so much for joining the roundtable today – the conversation around parent knowledge of the minimum age, and how social media can be both a connector and a divider will certainly inform the task at hand for the eSafety team. If you have any thoughts you didn't get to share, please feel free to pass them my way and I can make sure that they contribute to discussion.

I'm sure the eSafety team are also so grateful for your attendance at the next roundtable in August. As I'm not a part of that event, I will leave you in the capable hands of s 22 who will pass on the relevant information.

Have a great afternoon,  
Nat

s 47F  
Research Specialist

Parenting Research Centre  
PO Box 582  
East Melbourne VIC 8002

Work days: Mon-Fri  
M: s 47F  
E: s @parentingrc.org.au  
W: [www.parentingrc.org.au](http://www.parentingrc.org.au)

I acknowledge the traditions and culture of the Dharawal and Dhurga People, the First Peoples of the Illawarra region, where I live and work. I respect and acknowledge their Elders, past, present, and emerging.

---

**From:** s 47F @snaicc.org.au>  
**Date:** Tuesday, 29 July 2025 at 9:16 am  
**To:** s 47F @parentingrc.org.au>  
**Subject:** Re: eSafety Commissioner - social media minimum age obligation

**[CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL]** This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi s 47F

I'm happy to attend the second roundtable on the 6 August.

Thanks,  
s 47F

s 47F

Proud First Nations Awabakal Woman  
Director Communications & Events  
Strategic Engagement & Communications  
Working and living on Gadigal/Bidjigal Country



### **SNAICC – National Voice for our Children**

Suite 1 Level 8 36 Wellington Street | Yálla-birr-ang/Collingwood | Vic 3066 Australia  
PO Box 1144 | Yálla-birr-ang/Collingwood | Vic 3066

**P** 1300 SNAICC | **Ext** s 47F | **M** s 47F  
**E** s 47F | [@snaicc.org.au](mailto:s 47F@snaicc.org.au) | **W** [www.snaicc.org.au](http://www.snaicc.org.au)



SNAICC acknowledges the Traditional Custodians of country throughout Australia. We recognise the continuing connection that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People have to their lands and waters, and we pay our respects to Elders past, present and emerging. We would also like to specifically pay our respects to the Wurundjeri People of the Kulin Nation, as the Traditional Custodians of the lands on which our head office is located.

---

**From:** s 47F | [@parentingrc.org.au](mailto:s 47F@parentingrc.org.au)>

**Sent:** Friday, 25 July 2025 1:39 PM

**To:** s 47F | [@snaicc.org.au](mailto:s 47F@snaicc.org.au)>; s 47F | [@snaicc.org.au](mailto:s 47F@snaicc.org.au)>

**Subject:** eSafety Commissioner - social media minimum age obligation



**CAUTION: EXTERNAL EMAIL** Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear s 47F and s 47F ,

We're really looking forward to welcoming you to our Parenting Research Centre roundtable for the eSafety Commissioner on Tuesday, where we will talk about the perceptions and experiences of parent and carers.

I'm reaching out on behalf of the eSafety team with an invitation for SNAICC to join a second roundtable that will centre on the perspective of First Nations Peoples. It will be held on Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> August, from 1.30-2.30pm.

You're welcome to join in the event yourselves, or if you'd like to pass the invitation to colleagues, please do.

While I'm not organising this event, please feel free to reach out with any questions, or use my number below to call.

Hope you have a great weekend ahead.

Best,

s 47F

s 47F

Research Specialist

Parenting Research Centre  
PO Box 582  
East Melbourne VIC 8002

Work days: Mon-Fri

M: s 47F

E: s @parentingrc.org.au

W: [www.parentingrc.org.au](http://www.parentingrc.org.au)

I acknowledge the traditions and culture of the Dharawal and Dhurga People, the First Peoples of the Illawarra region, where I live and work. I respect and acknowledge their Elders, past, present, and emerging.



[Subscribe to the Parenting Research Centre newsletter](#)

.....  
We acknowledge and respect the diverse Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people of this country and Elders both past and present.  
.....

This message is intended for the nominated recipient only and may not be copied or otherwise actioned without prior permission from the Parenting Research Centre.

---

Message protected by MailGuard: e-mail anti-virus, anti-spam and content filtering.  
<https://www.mailguard.com.au>

[Report this message as spam](#)

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

---

Message protected by MailGuard: e-mail anti-virus, anti-spam and content filtering.  
<https://www.mailguard.com.au>

[Report this message as spam](#)