


**From:** s 22  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 13 January 2026 7:47 AM  
**To:** s 22  
**Subject:** Draft release [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Attachments:** 20260112 SMMA compliance update - MO copy.docx


**OFFICIAL**

Hi mate, sorry for the delay with this. As discussed, still in the draft stage and yet to be approved by Julie and GMs but the main SMEs are comfortable with this so should be basically there. Will update with a final copy.

Cheers, s 22

s 22  
Media and Corporate Communications

 s 22

 s 22



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

## **Platforms restrict access to 4.7 million under-16 accounts across Australia**

Major social media companies removed access to about 4.7 million accounts identified as belonging to children under 16 in the first half of December to comply with Australia's social media minimum age, according to initial figures gathered by eSafety.

eSafety's focus since the minimum age obligation took effect on December 10 has shifted from preparation to monitoring and enforcement, concentrating on platforms [assessed as age restricted](#) and identified as having high under-16 usage in Australia.

The data released today is an early indication that major platforms are taking meaningful actions to prevent under-16s from holding accounts

"I am very pleased with these preliminary results," eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant said.

"It is clear that eSafety's regulatory guidance and engagement with platforms is already delivering significant outcomes."

While eSafety recognises the process of age assurance requires time to complete fairly and accurately, it has clearly articulated its expectations around continuous improvement of age assurance accuracy and efficacy from platforms. It is also the responsibility of industry to prevent circumvention, as outlined in eSafety's industry guidance.

eSafety Commissioner Julie Inman Grant acknowledged reports some under-16s accounts remain active and cautioned it was too early to determine whether progress so far constituted full compliance by platforms, however early signs were encouraging.

"While some kids may find creative ways to stay on social media, it's important to remember that just like other safety laws we have in society, success is measured by reduction in harm and in re-setting cultural norms," Ms Inman Grant said.

"Speed limits for instance are not a failure because some people speed. Most would agree that roads are safer because of them. Over time, compliance increases, norms settle, and the safety benefits grow."

"And while effective age assurance may take time to bed down, we've had incredibly positive initial feedback already from three of the largest age assurance providers who have told us that Australia's implementation of the social media minimum age has been relatively smooth and this has been supported by proactive public education and communication about what to expect in the lead up to 10 December."

Ms Inman Grant said the true impact of the social media minimum age won't be measured in weeks or months, but will likely be generational.

“We are still at the very beginning of this journey and it is evident platforms are taking different approaches based on their individual circumstances, resulting in variations in the data and outcomes currently surfaced,” Ms Inman Grant said.

“Of course, while some positive changes will be clearly evident today, some of longer-term normative changes and related positive impacts on Australian children and families may take years to fully manifest. This is precisely why eSafety is undertaking an independent, longitudinal evaluation to measure these impacts over time. As previously announced, we will be measuring these impacts in collaboration with youth mental health experts and its [Academic Advisory Group](#).

eSafety has been clear in its engagement and guidance to age-restricted social media platforms that services are required to self-assess in relation to whether they meet the legislative criteria, and to take reasonable steps to comply accordingly.

This messaging and engagement has resulted in services such as BlueSky and Lemon8 assessing themselves as meeting the criteria, and they are working cooperatively with eSafety.

Given the vast number of online services and the fast-evolving nature of the tech industry, it’s impossible to list all of the services which meet the conditions and are obliged to comply with the social media minimum age obligation,” Ms Inman Grant said.

“As I have said for some time now, our compliance focus will remain on platforms with the highest number of Australian users.”

eSafety will continue gathering data, reports and information – including any indications of large-scale user migration to other platforms – to ensure compliance, safety and improve industry performance. So far, our analysis has found that migration to other platforms has quickly spiked in terms of downloads but have not necessarily translated into commensurate usage.

eSafety will continue to build a more complete picture about platforms’ compliance with their legislative obligation to take reasonable steps ensuring under 16s do not have accounts on their platforms.

To maintain the integrity of its investigations, protect legal privilege and preserve eSafety’s ability to take appropriate enforcement action where necessary, we will not be publishing specific numbers or detailed information obtained using its information-gathering powers.

Information, resources and advice including eSafety’s regularly updated FAQs for families and young people are available on eSafety’s [Social Media Minimum Age Hub](#).

# SMMA Monthly Migration Update December 2025



## Key Takeaways

### Surges around 10 Dec

Immediately after 10 December, most assessed ARSMPs and several other apps spiked in download numbers. This surge was short-lived for many platforms, followed by stabilisation or decline.

### Preference for establish

Users largely consolidated on familiar platforms like TikTok, Instagram, Snapchat, Facebook, and Threads. These remained dominant and sustained higher engagement beyond the initial spike.

### Selective increases among

Capcut, Telegram, Roblox, Pinterest retained gains post 10-Dec, while Lemon8 and Discord dropped back below their baseline.

### Emerging platforms not


Most emerging apps saw only temporary download increases around 10 December. Bigo Live did maintain higher downloads into late December, while others reverted to low engagement quickly.

# Preliminarily-assessed ARSMP

## Insights

- After 10 December, downloads surged across most ARSMPs (except YouTube), potentially as users tested platforms during the SMMA transition.
- Instagram stayed above pre-10 December levels, showing sustained engagement.
- Twitch also remained strong noting they didnt star actioning accounts until 9 January 2026.
- YouTube downloads increased after 10 December.

AU Downloads December 2025 - Preliminarily assessed ARSMP




## Other highly downloaded apps

### Insights

- After 10 December, Capcut, Lemon8, Pinterest, Roblox, and Telegram peaked, potentially as users tested platforms during the SMMA transition.
- Capcut, Pinterest, Roblox and Telegram held gains, while Lemon8's dropped back.
- Messenger and Whatsapp retained high volumes but declined by month-end.
- Discord dropped below its baseline by late December.

AU downloads December 2025 - Other highly downloaded social feature apps




# Emerging apps

## Insights

- Most emerging apps saw brief 10 December lifts but did not sustain growth, except for Bigo Live.
- Overall, potential migration to emerging platforms was temporary, with users largely reverting to ARSMPs and other large-scale platforms.


AU Downloads December 2025 - Emerging downloaded social media apps



# Landscape snapshot

## Insights

- TikTok, Snapchat, Facebook, Instagram, and Threads remain the most downloaded platforms, with a clear surge at the start of SMMA.
- Most other highly ranked and downloaded sustained growth through December, except Lemon8, WhatsApp, and Messenger, which tapered off.
- Discord and Bigo Live saw significant December lifts, moving into the emerging threshold zone.
- X, Reddit, and YouTube stay highly ranked but show lower download rates compared to the top-right quadrant.



---

**From:** s 22  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 14 January 2026 5:03 PM  
**To:** Julie Inman Grant  
**Cc:** s 22  
**Subject:** TPs re: compliance monitoring survey / evaluation update [SEC=OFFICIAL]

**OFFICIAL**

Hi Julie,

We will add this to your Q&A but just so you have it front of mind, here is what you can say on Friday if needed about the pulse survey and the next steps on our evaluation.


- **Compliance monitoring:** Along with our regulatory investigation activities, we are conducting a survey that explores social media account use among children aged 8–15 in Australia. It surveys 1,000 parents and caregivers to understand whether their child had accounts on age-restricted social media platforms before and after the introduction of the age restrictions on December 10<sup>th</sup>, 2025. The survey seeks to learn about children’s experiences with age verification and, if a child still has an account or accounts, whether this is due to active circumvention by the child or parent, or because the platform did not verify the child’s age.
- Separately, in February we will be providing an update on our evaluation of the Social Media Minimum Age. This will coincide with the release of the evaluation research instrument on the Open Science Framework. This will outline the study and what information will be captured and analysed as part of our evaluation.

s 22

eSafety Commissioner

s 22

EO: s 22 | [@esafety.gov.au](mailto:s22@esafety.gov.au) | s 22 | [Office of Corporate and Strategy](#)



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses – land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past and present.

**From:** s 22  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 14 January 2026 5:22 PM  
**To:** s 22  
**Subject:** Q&A and comments from web feedback form [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Attachments:** 20260801 - SMMA one month in TPs for Julie - MO copy.docx; 20260114 SMMA Positive feedback.xlsx

**OFFICIAL**

Hi s 22, apologies again for the delay. Attached is the current version of our Q&A for Friday. There are still elements of this in play, including the s 22 so it would be good to check in with you on those tomorrow to make sure we're still all aligned in what we say.

I've also attached a selection of positive comments we've received through our webform, in case there's anything there you think you might be able to use. Once, again, it would be good if we could discuss it first to ensure there are no issues around identifying individuals etc without consent. We do have contact information for them (it's been removed) if someone from the PMO or somewhere wants to get in touch with them.

Now I can see you're calling me so I better send!

You should also be getting the min sub now with more detailed information about the data etc.

Cheers, s 47E(d)

s 47E(d)  
Manager, Media and Corporate Communications



s 47E(d)



s 47E(d)



eSafety acknowledges all First Nations people for their continuing care of everything Country encompasses — land, waters and community. We pay our respects to First Nations people, and to Elders past, present and future.

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

# Social Media Minimum Age 1 month on

## Q&A

### You say over four million accounts have been actioned but how does that compare with the number of accounts you expected platforms to remove?

- Our message today is about being as transparent as we can, noting this is very early in the process. There are some important caveats around this type of data:
  - First, it's a point in time figure. As you'd expect, the number of accounts on a platform varies from day to day as existing accounts may be suspended or removed for a range of different reasons, and new accounts may be created.
  - Second, it's data about the number of accounts, not the number of people. Any given person may have multiple accounts across multiple services.
  - Third, it's based on what the platforms currently know about their users. In some cases, we know platforms are initially relying on self-declared age to find under 16 accounts, before taking further steps to check or infer that age and find additional accounts. So it's very likely this figure is an underestimate of the total number of under 16 accounts.
  - Based on our data, we estimate that just under 1.2 million children aged 10–15 have at least one social media account. However, because a significant portion of this age group maintains multiple accounts, the total number of active end-user accounts is likely considerably higher.
- Bearing all of that in mind, we are certainly encouraged enough by the numbers we are announcing today to say we are seeing broad efforts to comply from platforms.
- The process of age assurance requires time to complete fairly and accurately, but early indications are that platforms are making meaningful attempts to prevent under-16s from holding accounts.
- Ultimately our measure of success is how well platforms are complying with taking the reasonable steps as published back in September last year and of course we have said that we expect continued improvement.

### Many under-16s report still being able to use social media accounts as normal or using simple workarounds. Doesn't this show that the law is not working?

- We've said from the beginning that we're not expecting perfection from day one, but what we are seeing in this initial data is encouraging.
- What we want to see is evidence of meaningful change – and with more than 4 million under-16 accounts actioned already, that is what we are seeing.

- The law has also already had a big impact on the way we are talking about social media and young people.
- I've heard from many parents about how the law has helped them to start a conversation with their kids about the harms of social media and supported them to draw a line in the sand when it comes to access.
- We will continue to monitor compliance with the law from an enforcement perspective and we have also made clear our expectations that platforms continuously improve their efforts to detect and deactivate under-16 accounts.
- What we are hoping to achieve over time is normative change. Of course, this takes years to occur and we will be taking a robust, evidence-based approach to consider the impacts of the social media minimum age. For now, we are encouraged by these anecdotal reports.
- Parents with children under the age of 16 who have social media accounts don't have to wait for the platform to act. You can have a conversation with your child about the age restrictions. We have resources on our website about how to have these conversations. You can also delete the apps with your child.

## Are all platforms complying as required? Will/has eSafety taken any compliance action?

- Overall, early indications are that platforms are making meaningful attempts to prevent under-16s from holding accounts.
- We are considering a range of insights to monitor platforms' compliance.
- *If pressed on various types of insights:* This includes insights from our engagement with platforms, age assurance vendors and other key stakeholders; our desktop and field research; our regulatory investigations and data observed through our other schemes; and the submissions to our online form from members of the public providing their observations about how platforms are or aren't complying.
  - We will continue to gather data and information to ensure ongoing compliance and improve industry performance.
  - We will be as transparent wherever possible, however we do need to maintain the integrity of any investigations and to ensure potential enforcement action is not compromised.
- It's also important to note that any future compliance action requires us to gather evidence and mount a case that will stand up in court. These processes – when they occur – take time and singular examples of children with accounts, don't necessarily add up to systemic failure.

## Will the data be published?

- We will be transparent, wherever possible.
- However, as we have previously said, we will not be publishing specific numbers or detailed information obtained using our information-gathering powers, to maintain the integrity of investigations and ensure any potential enforcement action is not compromised.
- We will however provide further updates and insights as appropriate.

## Meta has released data showing it's complying but says this law isn't the right way to protect children. Shouldn't you be making platforms safer, rather than simply banning them?

- This legislation was passed by Australia's Parliament and my role as eSafety Commissioner is to enforce it.
- As such, my expectation of companies like Meta is that they will fulfill their legal obligations, based on the guidance we have given them.
- They must obey the law but there is no requirement for them to be happy about it.
- We don't require endorsement from the companies we regulate to enforce Australia's laws.
- As to the point about making platforms safer, I completely agree. Platforms should be safer for everyone and this is something we are working to achieve through our holistic approach.
- That includes our extensive education and community outreach programs, our complaint schemes to assist Australians in crisis and of course our other regulatory powers to compel transparency and accountability.
- Today I'm able to confirm we've sent a notice under those powers seeking further information from the operators of Grok over complaints it's been used to generate child sexual abuse material.
- We'll make a decision about next steps in due course.

## Why did it take you so long to release this data when you promised it before Christmas.

- Following the tragic events at Bondi in December, we opted not to make a detailed statement at that time. We're honouring our commitment to transparency by providing a fuller summary now.

## What do you say those who've been claiming it's failed?

- We've always said perfection is not a realistic goal so I think a better question might be, are we in a better situation today than we were on 10 December? With over 4 million accounts deactivated, I would say, clearly, yes.

## When can we expect the next tranche of data?

- We intend to be as transparent as we can, wherever possible, however as I have said before, we need to balance that with maintaining the integrity of our investigations and ensuring that any potential enforcement action is not compromised.
- We'll continue to monitor rigorously and fairly. We'll provide insights where possible, both in the interest of transparency and to support other nations who share Australia's aspirations for online safety.

- We are also conducting a survey that explores social media account use among children aged 8–15 in Australia. It surveys 1,000 parents and caregivers to understand whether their child had accounts on age-restricted social media platforms before and after the introduction of the age restrictions on December 10th, 2025. The survey seeks to learn about children’s experiences with age verification and, if a child still has an account or accounts, whether this is due to active circumvention by the child or parent, or because the platform did not verify the child’s age.
- In February we will be providing an update on our evaluation. This will coincide with the release of the evaluation research instrument on the Open Science Framework. This will outline the study and what information will be captured and analysed as part of our evaluation.

## Has there been evidence of under-16s migrating to other platforms?

- eSafety is monitoring migratory patterns in terms of social media use by children under the age of 16 and will adjust its regulatory focus as needed to ensure age-restricted social media platforms operating in Australia are complying with their obligations. We will continue to monitor this as time goes on.
- We are aware there have been increases in downloads of emerging apps like Lemon8, Yope and Coverstar. We are monitoring this over time to understand the migration trends. But it’s too early to say if this amounts to permanent migration as kids may just be trying it out temporarily. We did expect this would happen and we continue to engage with platforms to ensure they are meeting their regulatory obligations.

## Before the law came into effect, we heard concerns that it could negatively impact some young people’s mental health. What are you doing to ensure this isn’t the case?

- We have been engaging closely and regularly with Australia’s leading mental health organisations alongside parents and carers, academics, child development experts and young people themselves in both the implementation of this law and the development of our supportive resources.
- We’ll continue to do so as we work to ensure it is effective in protecting and promoting under-16s’ wellbeing.
- We know these changes are significant and that some young people may be impacted more than others. That is why I strongly encourage you to visit [esafety.gov.au](https://esafety.gov.au) and download our resources which have been informed by mental health and support organisations including headspace, Kids Helpline, Beyond Blue, Raising Children and ReachOut Australia.
  - I do want to take this opportunity to correct the record on some incorrect reporting suggesting there is a youth mental health crisis following the introduction of the social media minimum age. This is not true. The report misrepresented both the number of calls to Kids Helpline and the nature and timing of those calls. To be clear, Kids Helpline had 89 calls in the 11 months leading up to the minimum age restrictions, with kids who were understandably anxious about the changes and what they meant for them. From our discussions with Kids Helpline, we understand the number of calls have declined significantly in the weeks since 10 December.
- Importantly, the social media minimum age does not cut off the whole internet for under-16s.

- They are able to continue to keep in touch with their loved ones, engage with their peers, learn and be creative online – through messaging apps, online gaming and services that are primarily for the purposes of education and health support.
- We encourage young people and their families to visit our website and explore other ways to stay connected to the people important to them.

## Are you able to drill down into these numbers at all to give us a sense of which platforms are doing better or worse than others?

- As mentioned previously, we are now moving into a new phase of compliance and enforcement. Like other regulators, we need to maintain the integrity of our investigations and ensure any potential enforcement action is not compromised.

## What about people over 16 whose accounts have been wrongly removed?

- We've been clear in our expectations that platforms implement the social media minimum age in an empathetic and transparent way, communicating clearly with their users ahead of any deactivations and advising them of the steps they need to take to safeguard their content.
- Our guidance to industry includes an expectation that platforms provide accessible review mechanisms for users who believe they've been wrongly flagged.
- We understand where there may be instances where platforms initially get it wrong – however we expect that platforms are treating their users with care and fairness.

## Can you tell us how many new accounts by under 16s have been created?

- We indicated in our regulatory guidance to industry that eSafety's initial compliance focus would be on measures to detect and restrict existing underage accounts.
- This is in recognition of the fact that preventing new underage accounts poses additional technical challenges. We'll expect platforms to get better at this over time but we don't have anything to share on it currently.
- I'd note, however, that "new" accounts very quickly become "existing" ones so we're not expecting them to have a long shelf life.
- And I want to reiterate that we are encouraged by the early indications we have showing broad efforts to comply from platforms.

## So how does this work? Do you just take the platforms' word for it?

- We are considering a range of insights to monitor platforms' compliance, including but not limited to self-reporting by the platforms. We don't want to give our entire toolkit away as

this could impact the integrity of our investigations or compromise potential enforcement action.

- We will continue to gather data and information to ensure ongoing compliance and improve industry performance.
- Overall, early indications are that platforms are making meaningful attempts to prevent under-16s from holding accounts.
- *If pressed on various types of insights:* This includes insights from our engagement with key stakeholders; our desktop and field research; our regulatory investigations and data observed through our other schemes; and the submissions to our online form from members of the public providing their observations about how platforms are or aren't complying.

s 22



# Ministerial Submission:

## Social Media Minimum Age Compliance Update

To	The Hon. Anika Wells MP, Minister for Communications
Cc	<p>s 22 [REDACTED] – Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development, Communications, Sport and the Arts (DITRDCA)</p> <p>s 22 [REDACTED] – Communications and Media, DITRDCA</p> <p>s 22 [REDACTED] – Digital Platforms, Safety and Classification Division, DITRDCA</p> <p>s 22 [REDACTED] – Online Safety Branch, DITRDCA</p> <p>s 22 [REDACTED] – Communications Policy</p>
From	Julie Inman Grant, eSafety Commissioner
Date	14 January 2026
Subject	Social Media Minimum Age compliance update
For	<b>Noting</b>
Timely/Critical Date	To inform preparations for 16 January 2026 press conference.

## Disclosure of Information under the Online Safety Act


This briefing includes summaries and aggregate data derived from information obtained through compulsory notices under section 63G of the Online Safety Act (the Act).


**The information in this briefing is provided to you on a strictly confidential basis** as it includes a variety of information which may be confidential, privileged or subject to other restrictions and as such is must not be disclosed publicly or further used or distributed.

In deciding to disclose these insights to you and the Department under sections 208 and 209 of the Act, I have considered the confidential nature of the notices and submissions made to me by providers in relation to the confidentiality of certain information. As a result, and consistent with eSafety's correspondence with providers, this briefing does not include figures specific to individual platforms, except where providers have chosen to make this information publicly available.


Before any disclosure of information from these and future notices to the public, I must first consult with the providers of the platforms.

s 47E(d), 47G(1)(a), and s 47G(1)(b)






s 47E(d), 47G(1)(a), and s 47G(1)(b)



s 47E(d), 47G(1)(a), and s 47G(1)(b)



s 47E(d)





## Migration Trends

eSafety is monitoring potential migration trends by accessing app download data from Google Play and the Apple App Store in Australia. Limitations of this data are that it does not reflect usage of an app or the age of the user, however it gives early indicators if an app is rising in popularity.

Pre 10 December 2025, large ARSMPs such as Snap, Facebook, Instagram, Tik Tok and YouTube had weekly downloads of over 10,000, with some reaching over 25,000.

After 10 December, the volume of apps being downloaded surged across these ARSMP platforms for 1-2 weeks (except YouTube) then reduced back down. During this time,

we also saw similar increased download volumes for smaller services such as Capcut, Lemon8, Pinterest and Roblox, however all then reduced over the following weeks.


Capcut and Lemon8 remain popular, while Discord's downloads have steadily declined through December. Pinterest and Roblox, on the other hand, have shown overall growth during the month.

eSafety has engaged with Bytedance, the parent company of Capcut and Lemon8. They have self-assessed Capcut as a non-ARSMP, and Lemon8 as an ARSMP, who they state will comply with their regulatory obligations. eSafety are scheduled to meet with Lemon8 this month to understand the steps they are taking to comply with regulatory obligations under the SMMA. We are aware Lemon8 does have age assurance measures in place at account creation stage.

eSafety is monitoring emerging apps, considering them to be those below 10,000 downloads per week. A number of these have been reported in the media as they saw a spike in downloads around 10 December which raised their 'app ranking' for that week. As can be seen in the graph below, Apps such as Yope, Coverstar, BigoTV, Rednote and Zigazoo have seen considerable reductions in downloads and sit well below those large ARSMPs listed on the eSafety website. For example, Bluesky was downloaded 1,571 times in the first week of December, and only 136 times the last 2 weeks of December.

eSafety met with Bluesky on 7 October 2025, during which Bluesky confirmed they have self-assessed as an ARSMP and outlined their compliance plan. Bluesky has information on their platform to inform users that they are using Kids Web Services (KWS) as their age assurance vendor.

### AU Downloads December 2025- Emerging downloaded social media apps



s 47E(d)


## Next Steps

s 47E(d)

- eSafety will continue to develop and update the resources available on eSafety.gov.au to provide families and the public with education and information.
- My team will keep your Office updated on progress through regular weekly meetings and I will provide a written update at the next inflexion point when the platform responses to the second tranche of notices has been received and analysed, and our compliance assessments have progressed.
- Our next public update on SMMA will be related to our evaluation. It will coincide with the release of the evaluation research instrument on the Open Science Framework in February, which outlines the study and what information will be captured and analysed. A detailed brief on the evaluation has been provided to your Office. We will provide your Office visibility of this statement and information soon.

**OFFICIAL: Sensitive**

s 47E(d), s 47G(1)(a) and s 47G(1)(b)



### **eSafety Commissioner Opening Statement – SMAA Press Conference**

As you have just heard, eSafety's early data shows more than 4 million social media accounts held by under-16s were deactivated in the first week of the law coming into effect.

To put this into perspective, there are 2.5 million Australian aged between 8 and 15.

These numbers have far exceeded my expectations and reflect the fruits of significant preparatory work over the past year.

Our initial ask was that platforms remove existing under-16 accounts while taking meaningful steps to prevent circumvention and to demonstrate continued improvement.

We continue to engage with named social media companies as well as new ones where young people are migrating, including BlueSky and Lemon8, noting many of these migratory spikes involve downloads rather than usage and have been relatively short-lived.

Now that we've entered into the regulatory compliance realm, eSafety is measuring impact through our independent evaluation and through other forms of research.

We didn't expect flawlessness on day one and acknowledged that some accounts will be missed, and that enterprising young Australians would find creative ways to work around the restrictions.

But we don't expect safety laws to eliminate every breach. If we did, speed limits would have failed because some people speed, and the drinking age would have failed because – believe it or not – some teenagers do get access to alcohol.

What ultimately matters is that new norms take hold and expectations are set – this does not generally happen in a matter of weeks or months but rather in years, and across generations.

And this cultural reset will need to include all of us – and I'm happy to talk through what parents can do if under 16 accounts have been missed.

We will continue to provide insights, where possible, both in the interest of transparency and to support other nations who share Australia's aspirations for online safety.

But now that we are effectively running ten regulatory investigations simultaneously, we must maintain confidentiality and legal privilege. Because the integrity of these investigations must take precedence, we will not be releasing company specific data.

I'm happy to take any questions you might have. Thank you.